SCIENTIFIC NOTE



SHORT TERM IMPACT OF THE CHILEAN JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH: A BIBLIOMETRIC ANALYSIS

Erwin Krauskopf^{1,2}

In January 2007, the Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research was indexed by the Institute of Scientific Information (ISI). This paper reviews the research that has been published since 2007 by using records extracted from the Web of Science database. The papers published were mostly affiliated to researchers from Chile, and six out of the ten most-contributing countries were from Latin America. The analysis by institutions showed Universidad de Concepcion as the most prolific, although this result is not valid. A lack of standardization in the manner the Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias (INIA) subscribed its address on each paper caused a disaggregation of the information. This was proven by the manual curation of each record that was affiliated to any of the centers belonging to INIA. The journal has a self-citation rate of 19.3%, value that is relatively high if compared to other journals from the same subject category listed on The Journal Citation Reports 2010. Finally, this work should be considered a bibliometric snapshot of the current situation of the journal that will serve as a benchmark when new evaluations are made in a few-years time.

Key words: Bibliometric, epistemometry, scientific productivity, Chile.

In general, journals that are indexed by the Institute for Scientific Information (ISI) exhibit a high visibility due to the massive use of the Web of Science platform. Undoubtedly, the authors increase their citation rate exponentially as their work is exposed to colleagues working on similar topics all over the world. However, to be indexed by ISI requires that the journals be continuously published (on paper or online) with high quality content. Accordingly, in January 2007 the Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research (formerly known as Agricultura Tecnica) was indexed by ISI under the subject category of "Agriculture, Multidisciplinary" due to its wide scope that covered agriculture, animal production, natural resources, and economics.

In the last decades there has been an increasing interest in using bibliometrics, a scientific and quantitative analysis of publications, to assess the scientific performance of individuals, journals, institutions and countries. Some of the data generated through bibliometric analysis can be utilized to identify key researchers, the development of certain topics over a fixed time period or to what extent journals publish highly cited articles (Hood and Wilson, 2001).

The analysis of one journal and the comparison to others reveals its strengths and weaknesses, information

Received: 4 October 2011.

Accepted: 22 December 2011.

that may be used to delineate new objectives by an editorial committee. Hence, in this study the Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research (CJAR) was compared initially to the Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research (SJAR) due to shared attributes such as the number of issues annually published, being edited by similar institutions (in Chile by Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias and in Spain by Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria) and a common cultural background for the researchers of both countries. Thus, the aim of this research was to examine the publication pattern of CJAR focusing on various bibliometric parameters and comparing the results obtained with other journals covering similar research areas.

METHODS

For the period ranging from January 2007 to August 2011 all records indexed in the Science Citation Index Expanded database from the Web of Science platform were retrieved associated to the Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research (CJAR), Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research (SJAR), Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira (PAB), and Agrociencia. The documents were analyzed according to their type, number of citations, affiliation, and countries of origin. For this study, records that were categorized as "corrections" were discarded. In addition, the 2010 ISI Journal Citation Report (JCR) database was used to obtain the impact factors of the citing journals.

¹Universidad Andrés Bello, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, República 239, Santiago, Chile.

^{*}Corresponding author (ekrauskopf@unab.cl).

²Fundación Ciencia & Vida, Zañartu 1482, Santiago, Chile.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Over the 56-mo period, a total of 19 issues (18 regularsand one special issue) had been indexed on the Science Citation Index Expanded database that accounted for 287 papers. The most frequently published document type was "article" that comprised 94.8% of the total production, followed by "review" (4.5%), biographical item (0.35%) and editorial material (0.35%). Table 1 shows the top ten countries in terms of relative contribution to the number of papers published by CJAR. Not surprisingly, Chilean researchers are responsible for more than two thirds of the total amount, being followed by far by Argentina (11.2%) and the United States (5.9%). Actually, six out of the 10 countries listed are geographically close to Chile, fact that could imply that the majority of the subjects covered within the scope of the journal are confined to matters related to South American countries. In the case of SJAR (Table 1), only three out of the 10 countries are from the vicinity, being Spain the top contributor to the journal with a percentage of the total papers similar to the case of the Chilean journal. Likewise, Mexico was responsible for supplying three quarters of the papers published on Agrociencia. Attention is drawn to the journal PAB, which is mostly supported by contributions from Brazil (93.4%), being followed by far by countries such as USA (1.8%) and France (1.7%). This might be due to the fact that during the time-period evaluated, PAB published 75.6% of the articles in Portuguese, 22.2% in English and the rest in Spanish.

When the records from CJAR were analyzed by institutions affiliated to the authors of the papers, an interesting result emerged. As Table 2 shows, Universidad de Concepcion turned out as the top contributor as indicated by the retrieved data. Nevertheless, a closer look at Table 2 revealed that six out of the 12 entrees belongeds to the Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias, known as INIA, but were categorized as different institutions due to a lack of standardization in the manner the authors wrote down their affiliation. In fact, after a manual curation of the retrieved records, the new record count for INIA was 77, corresponding to 26.8% of the total amount of papers

Table 2. List of institutions affiliated to researchers that have published papers on CJAR, indicating number of papers from each institution and the percentage from the total amount of papers published within the period January 2007 - August 2011.

Institution	Record count	Percentage from total		
Universidad de Concepción	52	18.1		
Universidad de Chile	35	12.2		
Universidad de Talca	26	9.1		
Universidad Austral de Chile	21	7.3		
Ctr Reg Invest Quilamapu	16	5.6		
Inst Invest Agropecuarias INIA	16	5.6		
Universidad de la Frontera	16	5.6		
Inst Invest Agr INIA	12	4.2		
Ctr Reg Invest Carillanca	11	3.8		
Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile	9	3.1		
Ctr Reg Invest Remehue	8	2.8		
Ctr Reg Invest La Platina	7	2.4		

published. This inaccuracy undermines the visibility of INIA towards the rest of the world as its research capability seems diminished. The rest of the institutions involved in the publication of their research in CJAR were assessed, but this problem was not detected probably due to their low number of papers published on CJAR.

In order to evaluate the impact of CJAR, it was of interest to identify the most frequently cited papers and the citations generated by the journal as a whole. The number of citations a particular paper or journal receives is a measure of its visibility to other researchers (Krauskopf, 2008). The top five cited papers and their

Table 3. Top five cited papers from Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research.

Title	Year	Vol.	Pages	Times cited
Predicting breeding values of population	2007	67	139-146	8
effect of maize evaluated in Brazil and				
Paraguay				
Fungistatic activity of essential oils	2009	69	30-37	7
extracted from Peumus boldus Mol.,				
Laureliopsis philippiana (Looser) Schodde				
and Laurelia sempervirens (Ruiz & Pav.)				
Characterization of dairy slurry in Southern	2007	67	155-162	5
Chile farms				
A Ricardian analysis of the impact of	2008	68	69-79	5
climate change of South American farms				
Use of the dynamic model for the	2008	68	198-206	5
assessment of winter chilling in a temperate				
and a subtropical climatic zone of Chile				

Table 1. Country affiliations to contributors to the Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research, Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research, Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira, and Agrociencia, from 2007 to August 2011.

Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research		Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research		Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira		Agrociencia					
Countries	Record count	Percentage from total	Countries	Record count	Percentage from total	Countries	Record count	Percentage from total	Countries	Record count	Percentage from total
Chile	208	72.5	Spain	373	67.5	Brazil	962	93.9	Mexico	310	76.9
Argentina	32	11.2	Turkey	34	6.1	USA	18	1.8	Spain	35	8.7
USA	17	5.9	Argentina	30	5.4	France	17	1.7	USA	25	6.2
Brazil	15	5.2	Portugal	17	3.1	Mexico	11	1.1	Chile	24	6.0
Spain	12	4.2	Iran	16	2.9	Spain	10	1.0	Brazil	13	3.2
Colombia	11	3.8	USA	15	2.7	Germany	8	0.8	Venezuela	12	3.0
Mexico	10	3.5	Brazil	14	2.5	Argentina	7	0.7	Argentina	8	2.0
Germany	7	2.4	Chile	14	2.5	Portugal	7	0.7	France	7	1.7
Uruguay	4	1.4	Mexico	13	2.4	Colombia	6	0.6	Cuba	5	1.2
Pakistan	4	1.4	Pakistan	9	1.6	England	6	0.6	England	5	1.2

Table 4. List of journals that have used Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research as a source of information. The 2010 impact factor was obtained from Journal Citation Report 2010. Eleven journals were not included in this list due to a lack of an IF2010.

Journal title	Citations	IF2010
Current Opinion in Plant Biology	1	9.431
Biosensors & Bioelectronics	1 1	5.361 5.064
Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences Environmental Science & Technology	1	4.825
PLoS ONE	1	4.411
Trends in Food Science & Technology	1	3.710
Food and Bioprocess Technology	1	3.576
Agricultural and Forest Meteorology	2 1	3.228 3.052
American Journal of Botany Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment	1	2.790
Plant and Soil	1	2.773
Industrial Crops and Products	1	2.507
Journal of Dairy Science	1 1	2.497
Food Research International Pest Management Science	3	2.416 2.313
Plant Cell Reports	1	2.279
Biological Control	1	2.164
Functional Plant Biology	1	2.156
Soil & Tillage Research Molecular Biotechnology	1 1	2.100 2.091
Crop Science	2	2.020
Molecules	1	1.988
Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems	1	1.957
Food Policy	1 1	1.873 1.805
International Journal of Biometeorology Journal of Food Science	2	1.733
Environmental Entomology	1	1.534
Physiological Entomology	2	1.417
Livestock Science	1	1.295
Journal of Applied Entomology Agricultural Economics	1 1	1.276 1.239
Biochemical Systematics and Ecology	1	1.110
Scientia Horticulturae	2	1.045
Journal of Insect Science	1	1.014
Journal of Pest Science Biosystems Engineering	1 1	0.988 0.983
Animal Welfare	1	0.907
Transactions of the ASABE	1	0.889
Helminthologia	1	0.847
Plant Biosystems	1 1	0.829 0.771
Journal International des Sciences de la Vigne et du Vin Quimica Nova	1	0.771
Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira	2	0.681
Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research	1	0.646
Allelopathy Journal	1 12	0.635 0.596
Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition Chemical Industry & Chemical Engineering Quarterly	12	0.580
Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia-Brazilian Journal of	2	0.557
Animal Science		
African Journal of Microbiology Research	1 12	0.528
Ciencia e Investigacion Agraria Phytoparasitica	12	0.528 0.527
Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy	1	0.523
Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology	1	0.509
Journal of Pesticide Science	1	0.500
Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica Section B-Soil and Plant Science	t 1	0.460
Tropical Plant Pathology	1	0.448
Journal of Food Agriculture & Environment	3	0.425
Czech Journal of Food Sciences	1	0.413
Interciencia	1	0.391
Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research	34	0.385 0.323
Boletín Latinoamericano y del Caribe de Plantas Medicinales y Aromáticas	3	0.323
Agrociencia	3	0.294
Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances	1	0.292
Archivos de Medicina Veterinaria	2	0.240
Bioscience Journal Ciencia Florestal	1	0.203 0.191
Phyton-International Journal of Experimental Botany	1	0.131
Revista Científica-Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias	1	0.122
Journal of Agricultural and Urban Entomology Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control	1	0.057
	1	0.052

citation frequency are listed on Table 3. The most highranking article on the journal was written by Mora and Scapim (eight citations), followed by a paper authored by Bittner et al. (seven citations) and three other articles, each with five citations. As a matter of fact, these are the five papers that account for the CJAR Hirsch index (h-index = 5). This index measures the number of published papers, N, that have been cited N or more times (Hirsch, 2005). This parameter has been widely used to estimate the scientific impact of journals, researchers, institutions, and countries. Although this number appears to be low, the h-index for SJAR was 6 even though its most influential paper (published on 2007) has been cited 17 times, and in the case of the journal Agrociencia the h-index = 5. For PAB, the estimated h-index was 11, which can be partially explained by the number of issues printed yearly (12 in total) and the average number of papers (approximately 220) published each year.

Regarding the total number of citations perceived by the journal since 2007, CJAR had 176 journal citations as indicated on the Web of Science database in August 2011, from which 34 were self-cites, resulting in a selfcitation rate of 19.3%. In comparison, during the same time period SJAR had 448 journal citations with 65 selfcites (14.5%), Agrociencia had 255 journal citations with 49 self-cites (19.2%) and PAB a total of 1340 journal citations with 413 self-cites (30.8%). Various studies have focused on the proportion of self-citation in journals. For instance, Falagas and Kavvadia (2006) established that on average a group of biomedical journals contained 19% of self cites, while Krauss (2007) determined that all the journals categorized by ISI as "ecology" had a mean selfcitation rate of 16.2%. Self-citation is justified when the published research was built on previous results printed in the same journal; nevertheless it is a well-known fact that journal impact factors can be manipulated by forcing self-citation (Yu and Wang, 2007).

Since CJAR is currently categorized as "Agriculture, multidisciplinary", the complete data set for the 55 journals that compose this list was downloaded from JCR2010 and ordered by impact factor from highest to lowest. The journal with the highest impact factor was Agricultural Systems with an IF2010 = 2.907 and 8.3% of self-cites considering the same parameters used for CJAR and SJAR, that is, only papers published from January 2007 onwards. On position 28 (mean of the list), the journal JARQ-Japan Agricultural Research Quarterly exhibited an IF2010 = 0.41 and an 8.6% self citation rate. From the analyzed journals, PAB (IF2010 = 0.687) and SJAR (IF2010 = 0.646) were ranked within the second quartile of journals on positions 18 and 20 of the journal list, while CJAR (IF2010 = 0.385) and Agrociencia (IF2010 = 0.294) were located on positions 30 and 33 of the list (third quartile). Undoubtedly, the self-citation rate of CJAR, SJAR and Agrociencia seem slightly high as compared to the journals ranked at the top or mean of the list. In the case of PAB, the extremely high self-citation rate may be founded on the language bias of the journal.

In order to study in depth this matter, the 176 citing articles were downloaded and their source identified, so I could find out which journals are using CJAR as a source of information. Table 4 summarizes all the journals that have cited papers published on CJAR, which have been assigned a 2010 impact factor. This table reveals the high number of self-citations by CJAR, followed by the Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition (12 cites) and the journal Ciencia e Investigación Agraria (12 cites). The mean impact factor of the citing journals was 0.385 that corresponds to CJAR. It is important to point out that journals such as Current Opinion in Plant Biology, Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences, and PLoS ONE have began using CJAR as a source of information on which to substantiate their findings, evidence that confirms the high-quality research being published by the journal.

CONCLUSIONS

The incorporation of any journal onto the Web of Science database serves a double purpose. First, it validates the quality of the information published on a journal over a certain level of threshold and it also increases the journal visibility to other users. In the particular case of CJAR, the fact that papers of interest are downloadable free-of-charge benefits the researchers and the general public. Since 2007, CJAR has shown to be a valuable repository for researchers from Latin America as shown on this study. In fact, most of the Latin American countries (including Chile) involved in agricultural research have published in the journal more than a few times. In addition, the quality of the papers published as been increasing through time as journals with high impact factor have began using CJAR as a source of information

Finally, this work is a bibliometric snapshot of the current situation of CJAR that will serve as a benchmark that could be easily surpassed in 3-5 yr by good management from the editorial team and the reviewers. Regrettably, no straightforward method can be suggested to achieve this, besides more emphasis on the manuscript reviewing process.

Impacto de corto plazo de Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research: Un análisis bibliométrico. En enero 2007, la revista Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research fue indexada por el Institute of Scientific Information (ISI), por lo que este artículo analiza la investigación que ha sido publicada desde el año 2007 utilizando los registros extraídos de la base de datos Web of Science. Los artículos publicados fueron mayormente afiliados a investigadores de Chile, siendo seis de los 10 países que más contribuyeron en artículos de Latinoamérica. Un análisis por institución mostró a la Universidad de Concepción como la más prolífica, aunque este resultado no es válido por una ausencia de estandarización en la manera que el Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias (INIA) suscribe su dirección en cada artículo, lo que provoca disgregación de la información. Esto se verificó mediante la curación manual de cada registro que se encontraba afiliado a cualquiera de los centros que pertenecen a INIA. Esta revista tiene un grado de auto-citas de 19.3%, valor relativamente alto si se compara con revistas de la misma categoría de tópico que se encuentran listadas en Journal Citation Report 2010. Finalmente, este trabaio debe ser considerado como una visión bibliométrica de la situación actual de la revista que servirá como línea base para nuevas evaluaciones a realizarse en pocos años más.

Palabras clave: Bibliometría, epistemometría, productividad científica. Chile.

LITERATURE CITED

Falagas, M.E., and P. Kavvadia. 2006. Eigenlob: Self-citation in biomedical journals. Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology Journal 20:1039.

Hirsch, J.E. 2005. An index to quantify an individual's scientific research output. Proceedings of National Academy of Science USA 102:16569-16572.

Hood, W.W., and C.S. Wilson. 2001. The literature of bibliometrics, scientometrics and informetrics. Scientometrics 52:291-314.

Krauskopf, E. 2008. Plant science research productivity in Chile during the past 20 years. Biological Research 41:137-141.

Krauss, J. 2007. Journal self-citation rates in ecological sciences. Scientometrics 73:79-89.

Yu, G., and L. Wang. 2007. The self-cited rate of scientific journals and the manipulation of their impact factors. Scientometrics 73:321-330.