Resistance status to deltamethrin pyrethroid of Culex pipiens pipiens (Diptera: Culicidae) collected from three districts of Tunisia

Ahmed Tabbabi^{1,2+}, Jabeur Daaboub^{1,2+}, Raja Ben Cheikh¹, Ali Laamari¹, Mohamed Feriani¹, Chokri Boubaker¹, Ibtissem Ben Jha¹, Hassen Ben Cheikh¹

- 1. Laboratory of Genetics, Faculty of Medicine of Monastir, University of Monastir, Monastir, Tunisia.
- 2. Department of Hygiene and Environmental Protection, Ministry of Public Health, Tunis, Tunisia.
- + Ahmed Tabbabi and Jabeur Daaboub contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Objectives: The aim of the present study was to determine the susceptibility status of *Culex pipiens pipiens* populations against deltamehtrin insecticide.

Methods: Larvae of *Culex pipiens pipiens* were collected from three breeding places in Northern and Southern Tunisia between 2003 and 2005. Early third and late fourth instars were tested against deltamethrin pyrethroid insecticide. Cross-resistance with DDT resistance was evaluated in studied samples to estimate the role of target site insensitivity and two synergists including piperonyl butoxide (Pb) and S,S,S-tributyl phosphorotrithioate (DEF) were used to estimate the role of detoxification enzymes. **Results:** Our results revealed that the level of deltamehtrin resistance ranged from 0.67 to 31.4. We also showed the non-involvement of kdr resistance in pyrethroid resistance and no cross-resistance with DDT resistance was detected in all studied populations including the most resistant one. Synergists study on the resistant population (sample # 1) showed the involvement of CYP450 in the recorded resistance to the deltamethrin insecticide.

Conclusion: The results obtained from this study should be considered in the current control programs to combat mosquitoes in Tunisia.

Keywords: Culex pipiens pipiens, deltamethrin resistance, kdr mutation, detoxification, Tunisia.

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v18i4.39

Cite as: Tabbabi A, Daaboub J, Cheikh RB, Laamari A, Feriani M, Boubaker C, Jha IB, Cheikh HB. Resistance status to deltamethrin pyrethroid of Culex pipiens pipiens (Diptera: Culicidae) collected from three districts of Tunisia. Afri Health Sci. 2018;18(4): 1182-1188. https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v18i4.39

Introduction

Culex mosquitoes are known as main vectors of lymphatic filariasis and several viral pathogens¹ including West Nile encephalitis which regularly strikes Tunisia, North Africa². Mosquito-borne diseases continue to dramatically affect public health and to constrain economic development worldwide. Due to absence of vaccination available for some of the most devastating mosquito-borne

Corresponding author:

Ahmed Tabbabi, Laboratory of Genetics, Faculty of Medicine of Monastir, University of Monastir, Monastir, Tunisia. Email: tabbabiahmed@gmail.com diseases, mosquito control is considered as the better method of intervention³. Most mosquito control programs still mostly depend on chemical insecticides⁴ including pyrethroids which are the most commonly used insecticides due to the relatively low mammalian toxicity and rapid knockdown effect on insects⁵. However, these gains are threatened by the rapid development and spread of insecticide resistance that would threaten the efficacy of control programs. Hence, it is important to prevent or delay the emergence and development of resistance to pyrethroids to improve vector control efforts. Knowledge of resistance status and understand its mechanisms would be of great importance.

Increased detoxification and target site insensitivity⁶ are the two main resistance mechanisms of mosquitoes to pyrethroids. Three major gene families of detoxification



© 2018 Tabbabi et al. Licensee African Health Sciences. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

enzymes are well documented⁷ and have associated with pyrethroid resistance in mosquitoes⁸⁻¹⁰: cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (CYP450), carboxyl/choline esterases (CCEs) and glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs). The target sites of pyrethroids, known as knockdown resistance (kdr), encode voltage-gated sodium channels, and mutations in the sodium channel have been shown in several insect species¹¹ to reduce neuronal sensitivity to DDT and pyrethroids¹².

Previous studies reported low, moderate and high level of resistance to pyrethroids in *Culex* mosquitoes from Tunisia^{4,13}. Here, we studied the resistance status of *Culex pipiens pipiens* to deltamethrin insecticide in Tunisia. Cross-resistance with DDT resistance was evaluated in studied samples to estimate the role of target site insensitivity and two synergists including piperonyl butoxide (Pb) and S,S,S-tributyl phosphorotrithioate (DEF) were used to estimate the role of detoxification enzymes.

Materials and methods

Larvae of *Culex pipiens pipiens* were collected from three breeding sites in Northern and Southern Tunisia between 2003 and 2005. Collected larvae were transported to the laboratory and directly transferred into plastic trays containing distilled water with rabbit croquette which served as food under standard insectary conditions (25 ± 1°C and 70 ± 5% RH). Late 3rd or early 4th instar larvae were identified morphologically¹⁴ and tested for susceptibility to deltamethrin pyrethroid insecticide. The synergists tested to estimate metabolic resistance were piperonyl butoxide (Pb) and S,S,S-tributyl phosphorotrithioate (DEF). We evaluated the DDT resistance of studied samples to detect cross-resistance with pyrethroid resistances which have a common target site. Standard methods of Ray-

mond et al¹⁵ for testing mosquito larvae were essentially followed to performed bioassays. Bioassays were performed on field populations and/or F1 and F2 laboratory generations in order to finalize all necessary tests. Deltamethrin bioassays included 5 concentrations providing between 0 and 100% mortality and 5 replicates per concentration on sets of 20 late 3rd and early 4th instars in a total volume of 100 ml of water containing 1 ml of ethanol solution of the tested insecticide. The serial dilutions of each insecticide were performed to generate concentration-mortality curves. The effect on pyrethroid resistance of 2 synergists: the DEF (98%, Chem Service, England), and Pb (94%, Laboratory Dr Ehrenstorfer, Germany), was studied by exposing larvae to a standard sub-lethal doses of 0.08 mg/l for DEF, and 2.5 mg/l for Pb, 4h before the addition of the insecticide¹⁵. Tests were cancelled if mortality exceeded 10% in control beakers. LC₅₀, LC₀₅ and regression line were calculated by log probit program of Raymond¹⁶, based on Finney¹⁷. Values of LC₅₀, LC₀₅, confidence limits at 95% and slopes were computed. Susceptible strain was used to calculate the Resistance ratio at LC50 which is LC50 of field population/LC₅₀ of sensitive strain and synergism ratio at LC₅₀ which is LC₅₀ in absence of synergist/LC₅₀ in presence of synergist.

Results

In the present study, three field-populations of *Culex pipiens pipiens* were collected from different parts of Tunisia. The results of experiments have been shown in Table 1 that reveals the resistance of studied populations to deltamethrin insecticide which ranged from 0.67 to 31.4. Bioassays showed that the sample # 1 was resistant to used insecticide reaching 31.4.

Table 1: Deltamethrin resistance characteristics of Tunisian Culex pipiens pipiens

Population	Deltamethrin			Deltamethrin +DEF				Deltamethrin +Pb					
	LC ₅₀ in µg/l (a)	Slope ± SE	RR ₅₀ (a)	LC ₅₀ in µg/l (a)	Slope ± SE	RR ₅₀ (a)	SR ₅₀ (a)	RSR	LC ₅₀ in μg/l (a)	Slope ± SE	RR ₅₀ (a)	SR ₅₀ (a)	RSR
Slab	0.18 (0.17–0.20)	$\begin{array}{c} 3.53 \\ \pm 0.24 \end{array}$	-	0.18 (0.07–0.47)	2.59 ± 1.07	-	1.02 (0.41–2.56)	-	0.02 (0.01–0.06)	1.20 ± 0.33	-	10.0 (6.27–16.1)	-
1- Sidi Heine	5.7 (2.8–11)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.36 \\ \pm \ 0.25 \end{array}$	31.4 (21.1–46.6)	4.5 (3.1–6.5)	1.34± 0.12**	25.5 (10.4–62.1)	1.26 (0.84–1.89)	1.23	0.03 (0.02–0.05)	1.45 ± 0.21	1.74 (1.04–2.92)	181 (116–282)	18.0
2- El Fahs	0.12 (0.07–0.23)	$\begin{array}{c} 2.01 \\ \pm \ 0.42 \end{array}$	0.67 (0.41–1.08)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3- Jebeniana	0.15 (0.06–0.40)	1.23 ± 0.31	0.81 (0.53–1.24)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁽a). 95% CI; ** Parallelism test positif but without probability.

However, samples # 2 and 3 were susceptible and their resistance rations did not exceed 0.81. No cross-resistance between pyrtehoird and DDT insecticides (Table 2) was detected in all samples showing any correlation between both insecticides and indicated the non-involvment of kdr mutations since both insecticides target the voltage-gated sodium channel of insect. Indeed, the alone resistant population to deltamethrin showed low resistance ratio to DDT insecticide (1.95). Likewise, the two susceptible population recorded low resistance level to DDT

not exceeding 4-folds. Bioassays synergists (Table 1) realized on the resistant population (sample # 1) showed that there was no significant effect of DEF synergist on the toxicity of deltamethrin insecticide in the studied sample, suggesting the non-involvement esterase (and/or GST) in the recorded resistance. Indeed, the SR50 was not significantly higher than that recorded in S-Lab in the studied sample. However, resistance ratio of sample # 1 was affected by Pb synergist showing the involvement of CYP450 in the recorded resistance (RSR>18).

Table 2: DDT resistance characteristics of Tunisian *Culex pipiens pipiens*

Population	LC _{s0} in μg/l (a)	Slope ± SE	RR ₅₀ (a)
Slab	3,1 (2,7–3,4)	3,26 ± 0,26	-
1-Sidi Hcine	6.1 (4–9.3)	1,78 ± 0,23	1,95 (1,40–2,73)
2-El Fahs	14 (5,1–39)	1,29± 0,29	4,53 (2,83–7,26)
3-Jebeniana	6,7 (5–8,8)	1,54 ± 0,17	2,13 (1,67–2,72)

⁽a), 95% CI; RR₅₀, resistance ratio at LC₅₀ (RR₅₀=LC₅₀ of the population considered/LC₅₀ of Slab).

 RR_{50} , resistance ratio at LC_{50} (RR_{50} = LC_{50} of the population considered/ LC_{50} of Slab); SR_{50} - synergism ratio (LC_{50} observed in absence of synergist/ LC_{50} observed in presence of synergist). RR and SR considered significant (P<0.05) if their 95%CI did not include the value 1.

RSR. relative synergism ratio (RR for insecticide alone / RR for insecticide plus synergist).

Note: the empty cells was due to the loss of some populations.

Discussion

The present paper reported low and high resistance levels deltamethrin pyrethroids. Previous studies showed that some populations showed high resistance to permethrin pyrethroids (up to 5,000-fold) in Tunisia⁴. Nine years earlier, resistance ratio levels of 9092-folds and 453-folds of *Culex pipiens pipiens* from Tunisia was recorded to permethrin and deltamethrin, respectively¹³. Similar results were found in the most parts of the worldwide although low resistance ratios were also recorded to permethrin insecticide: <4-folds in Venezuella¹⁸, 18.3-folds in California¹⁹, 9.5 to 82-folds in Ivory Coast and 17 to 49-folds in Burkina Faso²⁰, 2500-folds in Saudi Arabia²¹ and 2800-folds in Martinique²². In contrast, resistance to deltamethrin insecticide was lower than recorded in Tunisia: 9 to 38-folds in West Africa²⁰ and 12-folds in California¹⁹.

Synergist assays indicated that CYP450 were involved as the resistance mechanism to deltamethrinin in the alone resistant Culex pipiens pipiens population tested. Daaboub et al¹³ showed that permethrin and deltamethrin resistances recorded in Culex pipiens pipiens from Tunisia was almost completely suppressed by Pb and partially suppressed by DEF synergists, suggesting the major and the minor involvement of cytochrome P450 and esterases (and/or GSTs) in recorded resistance, respectively. Using the same synergist, Ben Cheikh et al4 reported that esterases (and/or GSTs) were not involved in the resistance to permethrin pyrethroids in Tunisian populations of Culex pipiens although CYP450s played only a minor role. The involvement of detoxification enzymes in pyrethroid resistance was widely documented. Amin and Hemingway²¹ reported the important contribution of oxidases in the high resistance to permethrin (2500-fold) of Culex pipiens

quinquefasciatus from Saudi Arabia. According to McAbee et al¹⁹, carboxylesterases and CYP450 played an important role in the resistance to permethrin pyrethroids of *Culex pipiens pipiens* from California. Synergistic and biochemical tests revealed that the resistance to permethrin pyrethroids (3750-fold) of *Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus* from West Africa was due in part to CYP450²⁰. However, Bisset et al¹⁸ showed that detoxification enzymes were not involved in resistance to permethrin and deltamethrin in *Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus* from Venezuela.

The present study reported a negative correlation between resistance to DDT and deltamethrin insecticides. Contrary, opposite observations have been observed in several mosquito species including Aedes aegyptt²³, Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus²⁴, Anopheles quadrimaculatus²⁵, Culex pipiens pipiens⁴, Anopheles gambiae²⁶ and Aedes albopictus²³. It is important to note that the prolonged and intensive use of DDT against malaria vectors in these countries could be probably responsible for the cross-resistance resistance expressed by their common target site (kdr mutation). Indeed, previous studies reported that CNaVD modification was implicated, in addition to detoxification enzymes particularly CYP450, in permethrin pyrethroids resistance of Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus²⁰ mosquitoes, Anopheles stephensi²⁷ and Culex pipiens pipiens pipiens¹⁹.

Raymond et al²⁸ have shown that the association of detoxification with an insensitive target is additive with a major role of target site. The absence of the important mechanism in the resistant studied sample suggests the intervention of other factors in the recorded resistance. In this context, we should note that detoxification enzymes may be insensitive to the effects of synergists which probably explain the absence of esterases in the studied sample.



Figure 1: Geographic origin of Tunisian populations.

Conclusion

The results obtained from this study revealed different levels of deltamentrin resistance in *Culex pipiens pipiens* from Tunisia. Considering the ecological plasticity of this species and their role in the transmission of several diseases, further investigation are needed to well understand the resistance mechanisms of this species against insecticides using molecular and biochemical methods.

Acknowledgements

This work was kindly supported by the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research of Tunisia by funds allocated to the Research Unit (Génétique 02/UR/08-03) and by DHMPE of the Minister of Public Health of Tunisia. We are very grateful to S. Ouanes, for technical assistance, A. Ben Haj Ayed and I. Mkada for help in bioassays, S. Saïdi, Tunisian hygienist technicians for help in

mosquito collecting, and M. Nedhif and M. Rebhi for their kind interest and help.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Zhang H, Meng F, Qiao C, Cui F. Identification of resistant carboxylesterase alleles in *Culex pipiens* complex via PCR-RFLP. *Parasites & Vectors*. 2012; 5(1):209.
- 2. Tabbabi A, Bekhti K. A review of West Nile Virus and its Potential Vector (*Culex pipiens*) in North Africa. *The Journal of Middle East and North Africa Sciences*. 2017; 3(6): 9-12
- 3. Lambrechts L, Knox TB, Wong J, Liebman KA, Albright RG, Stoddard ST. Shifting priorities in vector biology to improve control of vector-borne disease. *Tropical Medicine & International Health.* 2009; 14 (12):1505–14.
- 4. Ben Cheikh H, Ben Ali-Haouas Z, Marquine M, Pasteur N. Resistance to organophosphorus and pyrethroid insecticides in *Culex pipiens* (*Diptera: Culicidae*) from Tunisia. *J Med Entomol.* 1998; 35: 251-60.
- 5. Brogdon WG, McAllister JC. Insecticide resistance and vector control. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*. 1998; 4(4):605–13.
- 6. Nkya TE, Akhouayri I, Kisinza W, David J-P. Impact of environment on mosquito response to pyrethroid insecticides: Facts, evidences and prospects. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. 2012; 43 (4):407–16.
- 7. Hemingway J, Hawkes NJ, McCarroll L, Ranson H. The molecular basis of insecticide resistance in mosquitoes. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. 2004; 34(7):653–65. 8. David J-P, Ismail HM, Chandor-Proust A, Paine MJI. Role of cytochrome P450s in insecticide resistance: impact on the control of mosquito-borne diseases and use of insecticides on Earth. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: *Biological Sciences*. 2013; 368(1612):20120429.
- 9. Lumjuan N, Rajatileka S, Changsom D, Wicheer J, Leelapat P, Prapanthadara L-a, et al. The role of the *Aedes aegypti* Epsilon glutathione transferases in conferring resistance to DDT and pyrethroid insecticides. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. 2011; 41(3):203–9.
- 10. Somwang P, Yanola J, Suwan W, Walton C, Lumjuan N, Prapanthadara L-a, et al. Enzymes-based resistant mechanism in pyrethroid resistant and susceptible

- Aedes aegypti strains from Northern Thailand. Parasitology research. 2011; 109(3):531–7.
- 11. Rinkevich FD, Du Y, Dong K. Diversity and Convergence of Sodium Channel Mutations Involved in Resistance to Pyrethroids. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*. 2013; 106(3):93–100.
- 12. Hemingway J, Ranson H. Insecticide resistance in insect vectors of human disease. *Annual review of entomology*. 2000; 45:371–91.
- 13. Daaboub J, Ben Cheikh R, Lamari A, Ben Jha I, Feriani M, Boubaker C, Ben Cheikh H. Resistance to pyrethroid insecticides in *Culex pipiens pipiens (Diptera: Culicidae*) from Tunisia. *Acta Trop.* 2008; 107(1): 30-6.
- 14. Brunhes J, Rhaim A, Geoffroy B, Angel G, Hervy JP (1999) Les moustiques de l'Afrique mediterraneenne CD-ROM d'identification et d'enseignement, Edition IRD, Montpellier, France.
- 15. Raymond M, Fournier D, Bride JM, Cuany A, Bergé J, Magnin M, Pasteur N. Identification of resistance mechanisms in *Culex pipiens* (*Diptera: Culicidae*) from southern France: insensitive acetylchlinesterase and detoxifying oxidases. *J Econ Entomol.* 1986; 79: 1452-1458.
- 16. Raymond M, Prato G, Ratsira D (1993) PROBIT. Analysis of mortality assays displaying quantal response. Praxeme (Licence No. L93019), Saint Georges d'Orques, France.
- 17. Finney DJ (1971) Probit analysis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 18. Bisset JA, Rodriguez MM, Diaz C, Alain Soca L. Characterization of resistance to organophosphate insecticides, carbamates, and pyrethroids in *Culex quinquefasciatus* from the state of Miranda, Venezuela. *Rev Cubana Med Trop.* 1999; 51: 89–94.
- 19. McAbee RD, Kang KD, Stanich MA, Christiansen JA, Wheelock CE, Inman AD, Hammock BD, Cornel AJ. Pyrethroid tolerance in *Culex pipiens pipiens* var molestus from Marin Country, California. *Pest Manag Sci.* 2003; 60: 359-368.
- 20. Chandre F, Darriet F, Darder M, Cuany A, Doannio JMC, Pasteur N, Guillet P. Pyrethroid resistance in *Culex quinquefasciatus* from West Africa. *Med Vet Entomol.* 1998; 12: 359–366.
- 21. Amin A M, Hemingway J. Preliminary investigation of the mechanisms of DDT and pyrethroid resistance in *Culex quinquefasciatus Say* (*Diptera: Culicidae*) from Saudi Arabia. *Bull entomol Res.* 1989; 79, 361–366.

- 22. Yebakima A., Marquine M., Rosine J., Yp-Tcha M. M., Pasteur N. Evolution of resistance under insecticide selection pressure in *Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus* (*Diptera: Culicidae*) from Martinique. *J Med Entomol.* 2004; 41: 718-725.
- 23. Somboon PL, Prapanthadara L, Suwonkerd W. Insecticide susceptibility tests of *Anopheles minimus* s. l., *Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictus, and Culex quinquefasciatus* in Northern Thailand. Southeast Asian. *J Trop Med Public Health.* 2003; 34: 87-93.
- 24. Halliday WR, Georghiou GP. Cross-resistance and dominance relationships of pyrethroids in a permethrin selected strain of *Culex quinquefasciatus* (*Diptera : Culicidae*). *J Econ Entomol.* 1985; 78: 1227-1232.

- 25. Prasittisuk C, Busvine JR. DDT-resistant mosquito strains with cross-resistance to pyrethroids. *Pestic Sci.* 1977; 8: 527-533.
- 26. Chandre F, Darriet F, Manga L, Akogbeto M, Faye O, Mouchet J, Guillet P. Status of pyrethroid resistance in *Anopheles gambiae sensu lato. Bull World Health Organ.* 1999; 77, 230–234.
- 27. Enayati AA, Vatandoost H, Ladonni H, Towson H, Hemingway J. Molecular evidence for a kdr-like pyrethroid resistance mechanism in the malaria vector mosquito *Anopheles stephensi*. *Med Vet Entomol.* 2003; 17: 138-144.
- 28. Raymond, M., Heckel, D. and Scott, J.G. (1989). The interaction between pesticide genes. *Model and experiment. Genetics.* 123, 543-551.