

Original Research Article

Effect of Carvacrol on *Salmonella* Saintpaul Biofilms on Stainless Steel Surface

Nancy Sayuri Uchida¹, Renata Grespan², Michelle Piovezan¹, Erika C Ferreira¹, Miguel Machinski Júnior³, Roberto Kenji Nakamura Cuman² and Jane Martha G Mikcha^{1*}

¹Graduate Program in Health Sciences, Department of Clinical Analysis and Biomedicine, ²Graduate Program in Health Sciences, Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, ³Graduate Program in Health Sciences, Department of Basic Health Sciences, State University of Maringá, Maringá, Brazil

*For correspondence: **Email:** jmgmikcha@uem.br; **Tel:** +55 (44) 3011-4813; **Fax:** + 55 (44) 3011- 4959

Received: 19 December 2013

Revised accepted: 12 November 2014

Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate the effect of carvacrol against *Salmonella* saintpaul biofilms on stainless steel surface.

Methods: The effect of carvacrol on planktonic cells of *S. saintpaul* was evaluated by determining the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC). The action of carvacrol on *S. saintpaul* biofilms on stainless steel surface was evaluated on established biofilm and on biofilm formation by counting the number of bacterial cells that adhered to the surface and by scanning electron microscopy.

Results: The antimicrobial activity of carvacrol against planktonic cells of *S. saintpaul* was observed. The highest inhibitory effect of carvacrol was observed during biofilm formation at 78 and 117 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ when *S. saintpaul* biofilms were reduced by 3 log cycles ($p < 0.05$).

Conclusion: Carvacrol reduced the number of bacterial cells that adhered to stainless steel surface, making it a potential compound for *Salmonella* saintpaul control.

Keywords: *Salmonella* spp., Biofilm, Carvacrol, Antimicrobial activity, Planktonic cells, Stainless steel

Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research is indexed by Science Citation Index (SciSearch), Scopus, International Pharmaceutical Abstract, Chemical Abstracts, Embase, Index Copernicus, EBSCO, African Index Medicus, JournalSeek, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), African Journal Online, Bioline International, Open-J-Gate and Pharmacy Abstracts

INTRODUCTION

Salmonella infection constitutes a major public health problem in many countries and millions of cases of salmonellosis are reported worldwide [1]. The ability of *Salmonella* spp. to adhere and form biofilm is an important contributory factor to its resistance and persistence in different environments, especially in the food processing industry. The surfaces of stainless steel equipment and utensils are known to be major sites of bacterial adhesion and biofilm formation and can consequently lead to food deterioration or food-borne disease transmission [2].

Several strategies for controlling bacterial adhesion to surfaces have been proposed, including the use of natural compounds. Many studies have demonstrated the action of essential oils in the adhesion of bacteria on different surfaces [2-4].

Carvacrol is an important component of the essential oils of oregano and thyme and is considered a broad-spectrum antimicrobial compound [5]. Although the antimicrobial effect of carvacrol is well documented, few studies have evaluated its effects on bacterial biofilms

[6,7,8]. The effects of carvacrol on *Salmonella* spp. biofilms were evaluated by Knowles and Roller [9] and by Knowles *et al* [10] on *Salmonella typhimurium* NCTC 74 biofilms on stainless steel.

In this context, the objective of the present study was to evaluate the effect of carvacrol on biofilms of *S. saintpaul* on stainless steel surface.

EXPERIMENTAL

Microorganism

S. saintpaul used in this research was isolated from raw material used for animal feed and was stored at the Laboratory of Food Microbiology, Department of Biomedicine and Clinical Analysis, State University of Maringá.

Effect of carvacrol on planktonic cells

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of carvacrol was determined using the broth microdilution method according to M7-A8 of the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute [11]. Carvacrol (98 % purity) was obtained from Sigma (Steinheim, Germany). The compound was diluted in ethanol and tested at final concentrations that ranged from 19 to 5000 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$. The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of carvacrol that inhibited bacterial growth. Two bacterial growth controls that consisted of Mueller Hinton Broth (MHB; Difco, Le Pont de Claix, France) and 0.5 % ethanol (v/v) and one control that consisted of carvacrol in MHB were included. Ampicillin was used as a standard drug at concentrations of 0.5 to 128 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ against *E. coli* ATCC 25922. Each test was performed in duplicate and repeated three times.

Stainless steel surfaces

AISI 304 stainless steel coupons (1 x 8 x 9 mm) were washed with 100 % acetone by immersion, dried, and cleaned with 70 % alcohol (v/v). Thereafter, they were washed with distilled water, dried for 2 h at 60 °C and autoclaved at 121 °C for 15 min.

Inoculum preparation

To biofilm formation on stainless steel, overnight cultures *S. saintpaul* at 35 °C were diluted 1:100 in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) to obtain approximately 10^7 CFU ml^{-1} (colony-forming units per milliliter), confirmed by counting the CFU on Hektoen agar.

Biofilm formation

The stainless steel coupons were individually placed in sterile microtubes in triplicate that contained 1500 μl of the bacterial inoculum and incubated at 35 °C for 24 h. After incubation, the contents were aspirated and replaced by 1500 μl TSB, and the microtubes were reincubated at 35 °C for 24 h. TSB was used as the negative control, and the positive controls were *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC 700603.

Effect of carvacrol on biofilm

Established biofilms

After biofilm formation on stainless steel for 48 h, the coupons with attached bacteria were washed with 0.85 % sterile saline solution and exposed to carvacrol at concentrations of 39, 78, and 117 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$, corresponding to 25, 50, and 75 % of MIC, respectively, for 1 h at room temperature. The control, consisting of TSB and bacterial inoculum, were included in the experiment. The tests were performed in triplicate and repeated twice.

Biofilm formation

Carvacrol (39, 78 and 117 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$) was added to microtubes that contained the coupons and bacterial inoculum. After 24 h, the contents of the microtubes were replaced by 1500 μl TSB with the same concentrations of carvacrol followed by incubation at 35 °C for 24 h. A control of biofilm formation with the same inoculum without carvacrol was included in the assay. The tests were performed in triplicate with two independent repetitions.

Counting of adhered bacterial cells

After 48 h of incubation, the microtube contents were aspirated, and the control coupons without carvacrol and coupons exposed to different concentrations of carvacrol (39, 78, and 117 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$) were washed with 0.85 % sterile saline solution, placed in the 0.85 % sterile saline solution, and subjected to an ultrasonic bath at 25 Hz for 5 min (Ultra Cleaner 750A, Unique). Serial dilutions were performed in sterile saline solution, plated on MHA (Difco, Le Pont de Claix, France), and incubated at 35 °C for 24 h. The results were expressed in $\log \text{CFU cm}^{-2}$.

Scanning electron microscopy

Biofilm formation on stainless steel was analyzed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The

coupons were washed with 0.85 % sterile saline solution, fixed with 2.5 % glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer, and kept for 48 h under refrigeration. The coupons were washed twice in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer and dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol (50, 70, 80 and 90 %) and twice in 100 % ethanol. The coupons were subjected to critical-point-dried in CO₂, coated with gold, and examined by scanning electron microscopy (Shimadzu SS-550).

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using Statistica 8.0 and 2.14.0 software. We used descriptive measures (mean and standard deviation) followed by t-test to compare differences between the control group and carvacrol-treated groups. The level of statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Effect of carvacrol on planktonic cells

Carvacrol was able to inhibit *S. saintpaul* growth, with a MIC of 156 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$.

Biofilm formation on stainless steel surface

The number of *S. Saintpaul* cells on stainless steel was approximately 8 log CFU cm^{-2} (Table 1). The bacterial adhesion to stainless steel was uniform (Figure 1).

Effect of carvacrol on established biofilm

The effect of carvacrol on preformed *S. saintpaul* biofilm on stainless steel is shown in Table 1. The greatest reduction of bacterial counts was observed with 117 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ carvacrol (75 % of MIC), in which we observed a decrease of

approximately 3 log cycles in bacterial counts. However, this reduction was not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$) at any of the subinhibitory concentrations tested (25, 50 and 75 % of MIC) compared with control group.

Effect of carvacrol on biofilm formation

A reduction of the number of *S. saintpaul* cells on stainless steel after carvacrol treatment is shown in Table 1. A statistically significant reduction ($p < 0.05$) was observed on biofilms treated with carvacrol at concentrations of 39, 78, and 117 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ (25, 50 and 75 % of MIC, respectively). SEM (Figure 1) revealed the effects of carvacrol on *S. Saintpaul* biofilm formation on stainless steel. The biofilm was reduced and almost absent at 75 % of the MIC (117 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we evaluated the effects of carvacrol on *S. saintpaul* biofilms. This compound inhibited biofilm formation on stainless steel.

Salmonella spp. biofilms on food contact surfaces are widely spread in food processing environments [2]. The use of carvacrol as an antimicrobial agent is an efficient alternative for *Salmonella* spp. control, however, only few studies have reported the effects of carvacrol on the adhesion of *Salmonella* spp. to stainless steel surfaces [9,10].

The number of *S. Saintpaul* cells on stainless steel after 48 h was 7.61 and 8.77 log CFU cm^{-2} . These findings are consistent with studies that evaluated *Salmonella* spp. biofilm formation on steel, with counts that ranged from 5.26 to 8.01 CFU cm^{-2} after 8 - 120 h of incubation [12,13].

Table 1: Effect of carvacrol on preformed *Salmonella* Saintpaul biofilm and *Salmonella* Saintpaul biofilm formation on stainless steel surface

Biofilm	Concentration of carvacrol			
	($\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$) [*]	Log CFU cm^{-2}	DP	P-value ^{**}
Preformed	0	7.61	0.900	Reference
	117	4.76	4.179	0.3126
	78	6.49	1.732	0.3762
	39	8.25	0.366	0.3182
Formed	0	8.77	0.113	Reference
	117	5.18	0.233	0.00001 ^{***}
	78	5.35	0.078	0.00001 ^{***}
	39	7.00	0.620	0.0082 ^{***}

Tests were performed in triplicate in two different experiments; ^{*} 117 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ (75% MIC), 78 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ (50% MIC), and 39 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ (25% MIC); ^{**} unpaired t-test using a reference group without treatment; ^{***} difference between mean ($p < 0.05$)




Figure 1: Scanning electron microscopy images of *Salmonella* Saintpaul biofilm on stainless steel surface

Kim and Wei [14] showed that the initial adhesion of *Salmonella* spp. to steel occurred after 12 h of incubation, with microcolonies formation within 24 h and mature biofilm formed 48 h later. According to Wirtanen *et al* [4], to consider biofilms, counts above 10^3 CFU cm^{-2} are necessary. Therefore, the results in the present study indicated the formation of biofilms on stainless steel. The results (Figure 1) showed that bacterial adhesion was uniform on the stainless steel, in which the bacteria were individualized without the presence of aggregated bacteria or an extracellular matrix. Kim and Wei [14] found the formation of microcolonies on the steel surface using SEM when *Salmonella* spp. was grown on turkey and beef broths, but when grown on lettuce broth, adhesion was similar to the present study. In fact, according to Steenackers *et al* [2], the extracellular matrix components of *Salmonella* biofilms vary considerably with environmental conditions, which may explain this result.

In the established biofilm, carvacrol had few effects, the largest of which occurred after treatment with $117 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ (75 % MIC), but this reduction was not statistically significant compared with the biofilm control (Table 1). Moreover, the biofilm formation results showed that the treatment with the three concentrations of carvacrol resulted in a significant reduction of the number of cells that adhered compared with controls (Table 1).

These results may be attributable to the characteristics of biofilm formation that occur in two phases. During the initial phase, adhesion is reversible, and the bacteria are easily removed by the application of minimal force. In a subsequent phase, the removal of irreversibly adhered cells is difficult in mature biofilm, requiring the application of strong mechanical

forces or chemical interruption of the adherence by applying enzymes, detergents, surfactants, disinfectants, or heat [15].

Similar results were observed by Knowles and Roller [9], in which the lowest concentration of carvacrol (0.5 mmol l^{-1}) had no effect on biofilms formed by *S. typhimurium*, but the highest concentration (2.0 mmol l^{-1}) resulted in a 2.6 log CFU/surface reduction, indicating the concentration-response effects of carvacrol.

According to Knowles *et al* [10], during the initial phase of biofilm development (24 h), the number of viable *S. typhimurium* was reduced by 3 log CFU/biofilm when treated with 1 mmol carvacrol per hour. However, a rapid recovery of viable cell numbers was observed that exceeded and eventually equaled the cell numbers on the biofilm without treatment. In mature biofilm, after 12 days of formation, carvacrol ($1 \text{ mmol l}^{-1}/\text{h}$) also caused a 3 log CFU/biofilm reduction after the initial exposure and an average 1 log reduction during subsequent pulses.

Nostro *et al* [6] also observed significant differences in *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* biofilm formation when treated with different concentrations of carvacrol. The concentration of $78 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ produced a greater reduction in the number of bacterial cells that adhered to the microplate. The authors used SEM and found that the amount of biofilm was reduced in the presence of $39 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ carvacrol and was almost absent at $78 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$.

Interesting findings were also reported by Perez-Conesa *et al* [7] who assessed the effects of encapsulated carvacrol on the inactivation of *L. monocytogenes* and *E. coli* O157:H7 biofilms on stainless steel. The morphological evaluation of biofilm revealed an increasing number of dead

cells when the biofilms were treated with sufficiently high concentrations of carvacrol and a reduction of bacterial counts.

CONCLUSION

The present study demonstrates that carvacrol reduces the number of bacterial cells that adheres to stainless steel surface, thus indicating that this compound may be an alternative for *Salmonella* spp. control. The action of carvacrol together with other natural compounds increases its effectiveness against bacterial biofilm and may even replace the use of chemicals to disinfect surfaces during food production.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by grants from the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) and Complexo de Central de Apoio a Pesquisa (COMCAP) of the State University of Maringá (UEM). We are grateful to Aparecida Donizeti Bidoia for technical assistance.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Drug-resistant *Salmonella*. [cited 2011 Nov 21]. Available from: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs139/en/>.
2. Steenackers H, Hermans K, Vanderleyden J, De Keersmaecker SCJ. *Salmonella* biofilms: an overview on occurrence, structure, regulation and eradication. *J Food Research Int* 2012; 45: 502-531.
3. Budzyńska A, Więckowska-Szakiel M, Sadowska B, Kalemba D, Rózalska B. Antibiofilm activity of selected plant essential oils and their major components. *Polish J Microbiol* 2011; 60: 35-41.
4. Wirtanen G, Husmark U, Mattila-Sandholm T. Microbial evaluation of the biotransfer potencial from surfaces with *Bacillus* biofilms after rinsing and cleaning procedures in closed food processing systems. *J Food Prot* 1996; 59: 727-733.
5. Burt S. Essential oils: their antibacterial properties and potential application in foods: a review. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2004; 94: 223-253.
6. Nostro A, Sudano Roccaro A, Bisignano G, Marino A, Cannatelli MA, Pizzimenti FC, Cioni PL, Procopio F, Blanco AR. Effects of oregano, carvacrol and thymol on *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* biofilms. *J Med Microbiol* 2007; 56: 519-523.
7. Pérez-Conesa D, Cao J, Chen L, McLandsborough L, Weiss J. Inactivation of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 biofilms by micelle encapsulated eugenol and carvacrol. *J Food Prot* 2010; 74: 55-62.
8. Iannitelli A, Grande R, Di Stefano A, Di Giulio M, Sozio P, Bessa LJ, Laserra S, Paolini C, Protasi F, Cellini L. Potential antibacterial activity of carvacrol-loaded poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) nanoparticles against microbial biofilm. *Int J Mol Sci* 2011; 12: 5039-5051.
9. Knowles J, Roller S. Efficacy of chitosan, carvacrol, and a hydrogen peroxide-based biocide against foodborne microorganisms in suspension and adhered to stainless steel. *J Food Prot* 2001; 64: 1542-1548.
10. Knowles JR, Roller S, Murray DB, Naidu A.S. Antimicrobial action of carvacrol at different stages of dual-species biofilm development by *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2005; 71:797-803.
11. Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. *Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria that Grow Aerobically*, approved standard M07-A8. 2009; 29: 1-88.
12. Jun W, Kim MS, Cho BK, Millner PD, Chao K, Chan DE. Microbial biofilm detection on food contact surfaces by macro-scale fluorescence imaging. *J Food Engineering* 2010; 99: 314-322.
13. Speranza B, Corbo MR, Sinigaglia M. Effects of nutritional and environmental conditions on *Salmonella* sp. biofilm formation. *J Food Sci* 2011; 76: M12-M16.
14. Kim SH, Wei CI. Biofilm formation by multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium phage type DT 104 and other pathogens. *J Food Prot* 2007; 70: 22-29.
15. Chmielewski RAN, Frank J. Biofilm formation and control in food processing facilities. *Int J Food Sci Technol* 2003; 2: 22-32.