Research proceedings on amphibian model organisms

Lu-Sha LIU¹, Lan-Ying ZHAO^{1,2}, Shou-Hong WANG^{1,2}, Jian-Ping JIANG^{1,*}

ABSTRACT

Model organisms have long been important in biology and medicine due to their specific characteristics. Amphibians, especially Xenopus, play key roles in answering fundamental questions on developmental biology, regeneration, genetics, and toxicology due to their large and abundant eggs. as well as their versatile embryos, which can be readily manipulated and developed in vivo. Furthermore, amphibians have also proven to be of considerable benefit in human disease research due to their conserved cellular developmental and genomic organization. This review gives a brief introduction on the progress and limitations of these animal models in biology and human disease research, and discusses the potential and challenge of Microhyla fissipes as a new model organism.

Keywords: Amphibian; Model organism; Life Science; Biomedicine; *Microhyla fissipes*

INTRODUCTION

Because many critical pathways and gene functions that govern organism development and apoptosis are highly conserved in different species, studies on model organisms can provide insight into basic biological processes (Fields & Johnston, 2005). Almost everything we know about the fundamental properties of organisms - how they grow and develop, how they express their genetic information, and how they use and store energy - has come from studies on model organisms. Not surprisingly, such studies have made important contributions to our understanding of human health and disease. These simple traditionally include the nematode (Caenorhabditis elegans), fruit fly (Drosophila melanogaster), zebrafish (Danio rerio), African clawed frog (Xenopus laevis), western clawed frog (Xenopus tropicalis) and mouse (Mus musculus), each a representative of the diversity of life (Chitramuthu, 2013; Fields & Johnston, 2005; LaBonne & Zorn, 2015). Model organisms usually exhibit certain key characteristics, which contribute to their viability in research, including small size and tractability in the laboratory, short

generation time, high fertility rates, easy growth, and amenability to experimental manipulation. As model organisms, amphibians play key roles in developmental biology, regeneration, genetics, toxicology, and immunology research. Xenopus, including X. laevis and X. tropicalis, are important model organisms, especially for investigating fundamental questions on developmental and cell biology, due to their large, abundant eggs, readily manipulated embryos, and conserved cellular developmental and genomic organization. In addition, as anuran amphibians possess various skin secretions for defense against external stimuli, they are an optimal model for understanding special immune structures and functions as well as immune system conservation and differentiation among vertebrate taxa. In addition, salamander amphibians serve as an important vertebrate model for studying regeneration and tissue repair.

SALAMANDERS: THE REGENERATION MODEL

Salamanders have an incredible ability to regenerate a range of organs and tissues, even as adults. They can regenerate limbs, tails, spinal cords, jaws, gills, parts of the brain, retinas, irises, lenses, and sections of the heart, while anurans (frogs and toads) lose the ability to regenerate limbs as they approach metamorphosis (Brockes, 1997). These organisms thus offer the unique opportunity to discover the events and processes that occur and the genes that are expressed during successful regeneration.

Regeneration was first reported in salamander in 1768 by Spallanzani (Spallanzani, 1768). Our understanding of organ regeneration has advanced considerably since then through research on tissue morphology, cytology, and molecular pathways (Fei et al., 1987; Makanae et al., 2016; Morrison et al.,

Received: 20 March 2016; Accepted: 10 June 2016

Foundation items: This study was supported by a grant from the Key Programs of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (KJZD-EW-L13), 2015 Western Light Talent Culture Project of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Y6C3021), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31471964).

*Corresponding author, E-mail: jiangjp@cib.ac.cn DOI:10.13918/j.issn.2095-8137.2016.4.237

¹ Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu 610041, China

² University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

2006; Odelberg, 2005; Wallace, 1981). When animals start regeneration, a typical regeneration blastema structure forms at the damaged site. This blastema consists of undifferentiated stem-like cells termed blastema cells, which are usually either unipotent or multipotent (Satoh et al., 2015). There are multiple means by which injured tissues can provide new cells for regeneration. Specifically, new cells can be produced by resident stem cells, by dedifferentiation (loss of differentiated characteristics) producing a dividing cell that acts as a progenitor cell, and by transdifferentiation, that is a change in state from one cell type to another (reviewed in Tanaka & Reddien, 2011).

Molecules and mechanisms that can transform wound healing responses into blastema induction responses have been previously investigated. Cooperative inputs of fibroblast growth factor (FGF)- and bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-signaling can substitute for the nerve functions in accessory limb model blastema induction (Makanae et al., 2016). Extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) activation is required for re-entry into the cell cycle of post-mitotic salamander muscle cells. Remarkably, while long-term ERK activation is found in salamander myotubes, only transient activation is seen in their mammalian counterparts, suggesting that the extent of ERK activation could underlie differences in regenerative competence between species (Yun et al., 2014).

It is essential, therefore, to explore the molecules and mechanisms of tissue regeneration, and thus provide a theoretical basis for the regeneration of human organs and the suppression of cancer.

AMPHIBIANS: THE IMMUNITY MODEL

Vertebrate immune systems are classically categorized into two interconnected types: that is, innate and adaptive immune systems. Amphibians occupy a key phylogenetic position in vertebrates and evolution of the immune system and share many features of cellular immunity with mammals (Xiang et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2014). As mammals, the effector cells of amphibian innate immunity eliminate infected cells by phagocytosis, via macrophages, neutrophils, and dendritic cells or by natural killer-mediated direct cytotoxicity. Moreover, the humoral side of innate immunity in amphibians includes epithelia-secreted antimicrobial peptides and some serum peptides, such as those of the complement system. In addition, frogs have a thymus where T-cells differentiate and a spleen where B- and T-cells accumulate, while leukocytes such as neutrophils, basophils, eosinophils, monocytes, and macrophagelike cells are also found in the blood (Robert & Ohta, 2009).

Amphibian skin plays a key role in everyday survival and the exploitation of a wide range of habitats and ecological conditions, and is thus a model system for diseases affecting vertebrate mucosa. Bioactive components of amphibian skin secretions, especially biologically active peptides, have been extensively studied. Granular glands in the skin of anuran amphibians synthesize and secrete a remarkably diverse array of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), 10-50 residues in length, that are released onto the outer layer of the skin as an effective and

fast-acting defense against harmful microorganisms (Li et al., 2007). Xu & Lai (2015) summarized the sequence and structure of AMPs in 26 genera. Sitaram et al. (2002) characterized tigerinin 1 from the skin secretions of the Indian frog, *Rana tigerina*, which exhibited impressive activity against a variety of clinical bacteria. Furthermore, esculentin-1a produced by the skin of the green edible frog, *Pelophylax lessonae/ridibundus*, was found to rapidly kill both planktonic and biofilm forms of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* via pronounced membrane-perturbing activity (Luca et al., 2013). Therefore, exploration of the structures, biological functions, and mechanisms of active peptides from amphibian skin secretions is important for developing new therapeutic agents.

Adaptive immunity represents the most recent branch of the immune response system from an evolutionary point of view due to its appearance in the gnathostomes. The high complexity of the adaptive immune system is based on the intact B-cell receptor (BCR)-T-cell receptor (TCR)-major histocompatibility complex (MHC). Transcriptome analysis of Bombina maxima skin and blood identified the transcripts of BCR, TCR and MHC, suggesting an immune system nearly parallel to that of mammals (Zhao et al., 2014). However, some studies have suggested that the adaptive immune response to some pathogens is weak (Fites et al., 2013, 2014; Xu & Lai, 2015). The MHC is an adaptive feature of the immune system that likely evolved in basal tetrapods. Genetic relatives share MHC alleles, which encode T-cell repertoires, so their immune systems should recognize similar arrays of pathogens. The MHC is genetically diverse in most populations, with this variation likely responsible for the patterns of morbidity and mortality observed when a population is challenged with a given pathogen (Barribeau et al., 2008). Immune system genes show higher rates of adaptive evolution than non-immune genes across a range of taxa, including crustaceans, insects, anurans, birds, and primates (Savage et al., 2014). Gene synteny is helpful for identifying divergent genes, such as those involved in immunity. For example, in Xenopus, as in mammals, cluster of differentiation 8β (CD8 β) retains proximity to CD8 α , whereas CD4 is closely linked to Lag3 and B genes (Chida et al., 2011). Ongoing whole genome mutagenesis allows one to search for genes critically involved in immune functions. Due to their special phylogenetic position and living environment, frogs provide a valuable platform for investigations on detailed immune responses and adaptive evolution.

XENOPUS IN SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

Here, the genus *Xenopus* refers to two species – that is, *X. laevis*, a classic allotetraploid frog used by researchers for several decades, and *X. tropicalis*, a diploid frog more recently adopted due to its easy genetic manipulation. *X. laevis* and *X. tropicalis* are species of African aquatic frog of the family Pipidae. *Xenopus* is an invaluable tool in vertebrate embryology and development, basic cellular and molecular biology, genomics, neurobiology, and toxicology, and as a model for human diseases (Horb, 2014). *Xenopus* eggs and embryos are outstanding tools in basic biology and biomedical research.

First, *Xenopus* lay abundant eggs year-round in response to mammalian hormones. Second, their embryos tolerate extensive manipulation ranging from very delicate procedures, such as transplantations of single cells, to extensive 'cut and paste' operations that challenge large sections of the embryo with new environments (Harland & Grainger, 2011). Third, a range of materials, such as nucleic acids, proteins, and intact nuclei, can be easily injected into whole embryos or specific cells. Fourth, cell-free extracts from *Xenopus* oocytes allow for *in vitro* studies on fundamental aspects of cellular and molecular biology, such as cell cycle, cellular components, ion transport, and channel physiology. Fifth, developing larvae and tadpoles are transparent, which facilitates the detection of tissue and organ development by visual inspection under a dissection microscope.

Xenbase (http://www.xenbase.org), the *Xenopus* model organism bioinformatic database, is a crucial resource that integrates diverse genomic, expression and functional data available from *Xenopus* research. The National *Xenopus* Resource (NXR) provides a facility for the breeding of *X. laevis* and *X. tropicalis*, maintenance of genetic stocks, which are available to researchers, as well as development of new experimental tools and husbandry techniques. Recently, ORFeome, which provides a comprehensive set of full-length, end-sequence validated, high-quality open reading frame clones in the Gateway cloning system, was established in *Xenopus* for functional genomics and disease modeling (Grant et al., 2015). These resources all provide support for studies on this model.

Developmental biology

Xenopus is an established and powerful model organism for the study of embryogenesis in vertebrates. Their relatively large embryos (in size and number) enable transplantation and microinjection, which has led to key discoveries not only on the functional role of inducers and inhibitors in vertebrate embryos, but also on their molecular mechanisms in vertebrate cells. Gurdon et al. (1958) conducted different nuclear transplantation experiments to demonstrate that mature Xenopus cells could be reprogrammed. Undifferentiated cells, collectively known as the animal cap, are present in the blastula of X. laevis. This region comprises approximately 1 000 cells and is capable of inducing myocardial cell differentiation following the activation or overexpression of factors such as GATA4 and Wnt11 (Kinoshita et al., 2010). Using Xenopus as a model, Ciau-Uitz et al. (2013) established the genetic cascade specifying the emergence of adult hemangioblasts and built a gene regulatory network for the programming of these cells. Nieuwkoop (1985) investigated inductive interactions during early X. laevis development and their animal cap assay has enabled investigators to hone in on the most intractable problem in developmental biology: embryonic induction. Over the last two decades, with the development of molecular biology, a growing number of inducers and inhibitors for specific differentiation have been identified. Neural inducers such as noggin, chordin, and follistatin have been found to induce neural differentiation in isolated Xenopus animal caps (Hemmati-Brivanlou & Melton, 1994; Lamb et al., 1993; Sasai et al., 1995). *Xenopus* has also been one of the foremost vertebrate models for unraveling the functions of β -catenin, such as dorsal accumulation and activation of a cascade of regulatory genes by β -catenin complexes and high mobility group (HMG) box transcription factors, which are critical for specification of the dorsal axis (Moon, 2001).

In addition, studies on *Xenopus* have contributed to the molecular dissection of major signaling pathways (i.e., Wnt, BMP, activin, FGF) for embryogenesis. *Xenopus* research established the link between β -catenin and Tcf/LEF and revealed some of the first direct Wnt/ β -catenin target genes in vertebrates (siamois, Xnr3, twin, fibronectin, engrailed-2, Xnr5, Xnr 6, and slug) (Chien et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2002). FGF-signaling is essential during the late blastula stage for the gastrula ectoderm to undergo neural differentiation provoked by neural inducers (Delaune et al., 2005). The distinctions of transforming growth factor β (TGF β) family signaling through Smad2 and Smad1 were also first clearly documented in *Xenopus*, with the roles of these signaling pathways in embryo organization predating loss-of-function experiments in other vertebrates (Whitman, 1998).

Amphibian metamorphosis shares many similarities with mammalian development during the perinatal period. Therefore, *Xenopus* provides an ideal system for studying precocious induction *in vivo* and characteristic features of post-embryonic development, such as morphogenesis, tissue remodeling, gene reprogramming, and programmed cell death (Tata, 1996). During metamorphosis, thyroid hormones (T3) regulate the expression of *Wnt5a/Ror2* to induce some larval epithelial cells to become adult stem cell analogs (Ishizuya-Oka et al., 2014). Heimeier et al. (2010) identified 17 larval-specific genes that might represent molecular markers for human colonic cancer by gene expression study during metamorphosis, which helps to understand intestinal organogenesis and human disease.

Investigating cell cycle mechanisms

Because large volumes of extracts can be prepared from eggs and oocytes of a single frog, and the cell-free nature of these extracts recapitulates the complex events of the cell cycle in vitro, they can be fractionated to identify structural and regulatory components. For over 20 years, oocytes, eggs, and early embryos of Xenopus have contributed to answering questions concerning the mechanisms that underlie cell cycle transitions - the cellular components that synthesize, modify, repair, and degrade nucleic acids and proteins, the signaling pathways that allow cells to communicate, and the regulatory pathways that lead to selective expression of subsets of genes (Gotoh et al., 2011). Essential cell cycle regulators such as INCENP, securin, geminin, and sororin were identified and characterized using functional screens in Xenopus extracts (reviewed in Grant et al., 2015). Maturation promoting factor, consisting of two subunits, cdc2 kinase and either cyclin B1 or B2, was originally characterized as an activator present in unfertilized Xenopus eggs, which could induce germinal vesicle breakdown when microinjected into resting oocytes. Moreover, the cdc2 protein has been shown to contain three regulatory

phosphorylation sites to regulate entry into and exit from mitosis and meiosis (Li et al., 1995). Khoudoli et al. (2008) blocked DNA replication by inhibiting either replication licensing or S phase cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) activity, and found that Mcm2-7 plays a central role in coordinating the nuclear structure with DNA replication.

Xenopus is not limited to *in vitro* investigations on cell cycle functions. The developing Xenopus embryo also presents an interesting *in vivo* system to study the regulation of proliferation, particularly in view of the changes in cell cycle regulation during early development (Hardwick & Philpott., 2015; Saka & Smith, 2001; Woodland, 1974). The developing Xenopus embryo has a single cdk inhibitor, p27Xic1, to regulate the cell cycle, which functions during the neuronal commitment stage and is necessary for primary neurogenesis, independent of cdk2 inhibition (Vernon et al., 2003).

Regeneration research

Xenopus laevis can regenerate larval tails and limbs by formation of a proliferating blastema and can regenerate eye lenses by transdifferentiation of nearby tissues, while also exhibiting partial regeneration of post-metamorphic froglet forelimbs (Beck et al., 2009). Therefore, X. laevis provides the powerful model system to discover fundamental mechanisms of regeneration.

Using constitutive or dominant negative gene products, both the BMP and Notch signaling pathways have been showed to be essential for tail regeneration, furthermore, BMP is upstream of Notch and has an independent effect on regeneration of muscle (Slack et al., 2004). Researchers have found that pathways involved in development also play important roles in regeneration. For example, TGFβ signaling plays a critical role in wound healing of the tail (Ho & Whitman, 2008). FGF ligands are upregulated in the regeneration bud of the tail as early as 24 h after amputation, and their receptors, Fgfr1 and Fgfr2, are present in the regenerating tail (Lin & Slack, 2008). Regeneration of limb buds is most successful during the early stages of limb differentiation and then declines as metamorphosis proceeds (Dent, 1962). Yokoyama et al. (2000) found that regeneration capacity depends on the mesenchyme to supply both signals and progenitor cells and that the nonregenerative epidermis retains the capacity to respond to these stimuli. Extensive transcriptome changes in regenerative tadpoles 1 d after spinal cord injury, while this was only observed 6 d after injury in non-regenerative froglets, and genes related to neurogenesis and axonal regeneration were differentially regulated after injury in regenerative and nonregenerative stages (Lee-Liu et al., 2014). With the everincreasing interest in regenerative medicine, the next 10 years will be an exciting time for regeneration research, and the advantages of the Xenopus system and advanced genetic manipulation ensure that Xenopus will continue to lead the way.

As a human disease model

When using animal models in biomedical research to gain insights into human developmental biology, disease pathology, and novel therapeutics, it is important to be aware of their

evolutionary distances to humans. The smaller the evolutionary distance, the more reliable the results from model organism studies will be translated to medicine. Importantly, *Xenopus* bridges the gap between costlier and less tractable mammalian models and the evolutionarily more distant zebrafish model, and as such is uniquely positioned to inform conserved biological processes relevant to human health.

Out of 20 000 protein genes of X. laevis, there are at least 1 700 orthologs of human disease genes (Hellsten et al., 2010). Currently, the Xenopus model organism system has been used to study cancer, Gl/pancreatic diseases, cardiovascular diseases, neurological diseases, immunological diseases, muscle atrophy, and human ciliopathies (Salanga & Horb, 2015; Schweickert & Feistel, 2015; Ymlahi-Ouazzani et al., 2010). Mutations found in Xenopus genetic screens often appear to be linked to human syndromes (Abu-Daya et al., 2009). When a wild-type human MeCP2 gene was overexpressed in Xenopus tectal neurons in vivo, these neurons were found to develop fewer, albeit longer, dendrites compared with normal tectal cells (Marshak et al., 2012). Furthermore, in Xenopus, as in humans and rodents, variations in MeCP2 activity cause redistribution between close- and long-range network connections, which is one of the landmark circuit abnormalities in autism spectrum disorders (Geschwind, 2009). Key transcription regulators are sufficiently conserved between Xenopus and humans (Amir et al., 1999), allowing the human MeCP2 gene to interact with native Xenopus pathways to reveal disease mechanisms, thus ultimately leading to advancements in diagnosis and therapy (Marshak et al., 2012; Pratt & Khakhalin, 2013). In X. laevis embryos, for example, Irx5 modulates the migration of progenitor cell populations in branchial arches and gonads by repressing Sdf1 (Bonnard et al., 2012).

Genetic and genomic research

Genetic research on X. laevis is challenged by its allotetraploid genome (reflected in four copies of many genes) and its generation time of over a year. Thus, researchers have turned to a genetically tractable amphibian species, X. tropicalis, a West African relative of X. laevis, with a small, diploid genome and much shorter generation time (just under three months for males) (Hirsch et al., 2002a). Xenopus tropicalis also promotes multigenerational studies, taking advantage of efficient transgenic methods in Xenopus (Harland & Grainger, 2011). This has enhanced the use of Xenopus in different assays, for example in scoring genes by transgenic embryos and generating transgenic lines (Hirsch et al., 2002b), perturbing gene function by tissue or stage-specific expression of designer constructs (Hartley et al., 2001), and defining key regions of enhancers (Ogino et al., 2008). In addition, the long fertility period of Xenopus greatly simplifies maintenance of stocks for backcrosses and test crosses relative to other animal models. The X. tropicalis genome sequence was first published in 2010, filling the gap between mammals and fish and revealing the extraordinary synteny between frog and human genomes. The Xenopus genome contains genes similar to at least 1 700 genes that, in humans, are associated with disease (Hellsten et al., 2010). Thus, understanding these genes in frogs could help biologists understand how they are involved in human disease.

The Xenopus model system has been further strengthened by recent advances in several powerful genome editing techniques, including zinc-finger nucleases (ZFNs), transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs), and the CRISPR/Cas 9 system (Guo et al., 2014; Lei et al., 2012; Young et al. 2011).

Biochemistry, cell biology and toxicology

Xenopus oocyte extracts have served for years as an important cellular expression system in studies on biochemistry and electrophysiological properties of ion channels, solute carriers, ATP-driven transporters, and signaling receptors (Schmitt et al., 2014). By treating adult females with a relatively simple course of hormone injections, oocyte maturation is initiated and egg laying is encouraged. These eggs can then be collected to harvest egg extracts for further work.

Having been used for decades by researchers in academia as well as the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to assay toxic and teratogenic effects of environmental chemicals, the Xenopus tadpole is not strange to the field of embryo toxicology (Berg et al., 2009; Dumpert & Zietz, 1984; Saria et al., 2014). The tadpole has served as a workhorse for these studies mostly because their metamorphosis from tadpole to frog depends entirely on the thyroid hormone (TH) (Damjanovski et al., 2000), and TH inhibitors are one of the most prevalent environmental contaminants and endocrine disruptors. In addition, environmental estrogens, including nonylphenol, octylphenol, methoxychlor, antiandrogen, p,p'-DDE, and synthetic androgen 17α-methyltestosterone, alter early development in X. laevis by disrupting hormone-sensitive processes (Bevan et al., 2003). There is no doubt that the many unique advantages of Xenopus and other amphibians will ensure their position as a fundamental vertebrate model. It is likely that future contributions from Xenopus research will lead to rapid progress in biology and biomedicine.

The genomic architecture and expression profiles of *Xenopus* are, however, not likely representative of amphibians as a whole. *Xenopus* are members of the family Pipidae, an early divergent group of anurans, with 95% of extant frog species actually belonging to the clade Neobatrachia, a much more recent radiation that diverged from Pipidae approximately 300 million years ago (Pyron & Wiens, 2011). Furthermore, *Xenopus* live in the water all its life, and the development mechanism and physiological function of this sepecie is aquatic adaptive without information for the function, mechanism and evolution from aquatic to terrestrial. It is clear that no single model organism can fill all requirements for future research. Therefore, additional model organisms are needed for specific issues.

POTENTIAL OF MICROHYLA FISSIPES

Microhyla fissipes is a typical tailless anuran from the family Microhylidae suborder Neobatrachia. It is widely distributed in eastern Asia and Southeastern Asia and is of small size with a strong survivability (Figure 1). It can produce about 240-450 eggs at one time, which are large enough (0.8-1.0 mm) for microinjection. The embryos develop rapidly and hatch after

~24 h. Moreover, the tadpole is transparent (Figure 1A) and takes only 20-30 d to complete metamorphosis (Fei et al., 2009). Furthermore, *M. fissipes* is diploid (2*n*=24) (Chen et al., 1983). These characteristics suggest that *M. fissipes* would be a good species with which to study developmental biology, adaptive mechanisms from aquatic to terrestrial lifeform, environmental toxicology, and human disease.





Figure 1 Photograph of tadpole (A) and adult (B) Microhyla fissipes

At present, research progress has been made in the feeding, breeding, embryonic development, and metamorphosis of *M. fissipes*.

Microhyla fissipes tadpoles are mainly fed on cooked egg yolk and Artemia salina, froglets are primarily fed on Onychiuyus fimeitayius linnaeus and Folsomia candida, and adult frogs are mainly fed on Pseudaletia separata, Plutella xylostella and Drosophila. In future, suitable fodder will be gradually added for domestication of this species, which will help to promote its storage in the lab.

The mating season for *M. fissipes* is from March to September, though it peaks from early May to the end of June. During the mating season, males attract females mainly through croaking. Once drawn, the male embraces the female for several hours, climbing onto her back and performing amplexus breeding behavior. Spawning occurs in the water, during which time the female will lay her eggs and the male will release his sperm concurrently, with the fertilized eggs eventually hatching into tadpoles. This spawning occurs several times and lasts about 10 min. *Microhyla fissipes* can be induced to lays eggs in response to luteinizing hormone-releasing

hormone A3 (LHRH-A3) (Ningbo Sansheng Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. Zhejiang, China) at a concentration of 0.3 μg/g from March to October, while half these concentrations are sufficient for males (unpublished data).

The fertilized eggs are yellow and float on the water. Embryonic development of *M. fissipes*, like other amphibians, includes early and post-embryonic development. Considering the extent of morphological changes observed for other amphibians, especially anurans (Gosner, 1960; Shimizu & Ota, 2003), 45 developmental stages have been determined for M. fissipes. The early embryonic period (stages 1-28), from fertilization to completion of spiracle, lasts for 82.6 h at 23.5±0.6 °C (water temperature), and the larval period (stages 29-45), from limb formation to metamorphosis, takes 38 d at 24.6±0.8 °C (water temperature). Raising the water temperature will accelerate embryonic development in M. Fissipes (data unpublished). During embryonic development, the larvae of M. fissipes are transparent and total length reaches a maximum at stage 40 (unpublished data).

To investigate gene regulated metamorphosis, the transcriptomes of three key developmental stages of M. fissipes (premetamorphosis (PM), metamorphic climax (MC), and completion of metamorphosis (CM)) were deep-sequenced on the Illumina platform by NovoGene (Beijing). A total of 34 938 unique transcripts were annotated, 2 293 differentially expressed genes were identified from comparisons of transcriptomes, and these genes showed stage-specific expression patterns. The stage-specific transcripts were detected by comparison in pairs. We found proto-oncogene could be attributed to the cellular proliferative activity of organisms in the PM stage. At the MC stage, transcripts associated with extracellular matrix (ECM), and ECMremodeling were attributed to the morphological changes that accompany larval transitions. Detected unigenes important in metamorphosis can be considered as candidates to further elucidate the molecular mechanisms underlying metamorphosis in M. fissipes. Unexpectedly, we found that thyroid hormone receptor α (TR α) was highly expressed in X. laevis and Bufo gargarizans at PM but showed low expression in M. fissipes. Correspondingly, M. fissipes spent a shorter amount of time attaining metamorphosis onset and had a smaller body size than either Xenopus or B. Gargarizans (Zhao et al., 2016). In contrast, $TR\beta$ was highly expressed during metamorphosis in M. fissipes, X. laevis and B. gargarizans. This implies that TRβ is essential for initiating metamorphosis, at least in *M. fissipes*. Thus, our work clarifies the roles of unliganded $TR\alpha$ in regulating tadpole growth and timing of metamorphosis, which may be conserved in anurans, and the role of liganded TR β in launching metamorphosis (Zhao et al., 2016).

CONCLUSIONS

Compared with other model animals, research on M. fissipes is in its infancy and further studies on breeding, feeding, morphology, physiology, gene functions and molecular mechanisms of metamorphosis, and function, mechanism and evolution from aquatic to terrestrial are needed for this species.

At present, the key aim is to establish an inbred line, and to set the feeding and breeding standards for lab rearing. The species M. fissipes is cheap and convenient for laboratory breeding due to its small body size, as well as rapid embryonic development and metamorphosis, which has facilitated its use in developmental biology. Furthermore, M. fissipes belongs to the clade Neobatrachia, and thus may represent 95% of extant frog species. As a typical anuran, M. fissipes metamorphoses quickly from transparent tadpole to terrestrial froglet, which can also be used to explore the functional evolution of Anura from aquatic to terrestrial lifeform. The unusual characteristics of M. fissipes provide a novel inroad to address the mechanisms of spatiotemporal scaling during evolution. Additionally, its skeletal muscles. which undergo tremendous remodeling metamorphosis, are an ideal model for studying muscle fiber apoptosis, differentiation, and adaptation of muscle function from aquatic to terrestrial lifeform. Therefore, our future work will focus on the basic biology of M. fissipes so as to detect its potential as a novel model in terrestrial adaptation mechanisms, lung development, muscle remolding during metamorphosis, and other foundational biological and biomedicinal research.

REFERENCES

Abu-Daya A, Sater AK, Wells DE, Mohun TJ, Zimmerman LB. 2009. Absence of heartbeat in the Xenopus tropicalis mutation muzak is caused by a nonsense mutation in cardiac myosin myh6. Developmental Biology, 336(1): 20-29.

Amir RE, van den Veyver IB, Wan MM, Tran CQ, Francke U, Zoghbi HY. 1999. Rett syndrome is caused by mutations in X-linked MECP2, encoding methyl-CpG-binding protein 2. Nature Genetics, 23(2): 185-188.

Barribeau SM, Villinger J, Waldman B. 2008. Major histocompatibility complex based resistance to a common bacterial pathogen of amphibians. PLoS One, 3(7): e2692.

Beck CW, Izpisúa Belmonte JC, Christen B. 2009. Beyond early development: Xenopus as an emerging model for the study of regenerative mechanisms. Developmental Dynamics, 238(6): 1226-1248.

Berg C, Gyllenhammar I, Kvarnryd M. 2009. Xenopus tropicalis as a test system for developmental and reproductive toxicity. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part A: Current Issues, 72(3-4): 219-225.

Bevan Cl. Porter DM Prasad A Howard MJ Henderson LP 2003 Environmental estrogens alter early development in Xenopus laevis. Environmental Health Perspectives, 111(4): 488-496.

Bonnard C. Strobl AC. Shboul M. Lee H. Merriman B. Nelson SF. Ababneh OH, Uz E, Güran T, Kayserili H, Hamamy H, Reversade B. 2012. Mutations in IRX5 impair craniofacial development and germ cell migration via SDF1. Nature Genetics, 44(6): 709-713.

Brockes JP. 1997. Amphibian limb regeneration: rebuilding a complex structure. Science, 276(5309): 81-87.

Chen WY, Wang ZS, Wang XZ, Yang YH, Sun QL. 1983. A comparative study of the karyotypes from six species of frogs in Sichuan. Zoological Research, 4(1): 83-88. (in Chinese)

Chida AS, Goyos A, Robert J. 2011. Phylogenetic and developmental study of CD4, CD8 α and β T cell co-receptor homologs in two amphibian species, Xenopus tropicalis and Xenopus laevis. Developmental & Comparative Immunology, 35(3): 366-377.

Chien AJ, Conrad WH, Moon RT. 2009. A Wnt survival guide: from flies to human disease. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, **129**(7): 1614-1627.

Chitramuthu BP. 2013. Modeling human disease and development in zebrafish. *Human Genetics & Embryology*, **3**(1): 1000e108.

Ciau-Uitz A, Pinheiro P, Kirmizitas A, Zuo J, Patient R. 2013. VEGFA-dependent and -independent pathways synergise to drive Scl expression and initiate programming of the blood stem cell lineage in *Xenopus*. *Development*, **140**(12): 2632-2642.

Damjanovski S, Amano T, Li Q, Ueda S, Shi YB, Ishizuya-Oka A. 2000. Role of ECM remodeling in thyroid hormone-dependent apoptosis during anuran metamorphosis. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, **926**: 180-191.

Delaune E, Lemaire P, Kodjabachian L. 2005. Neural induction in *Xenopus* requires early FGF signalling in addition to BMP inhibition. *Development*, **132**(2): 299-310.

Dent JN. 1962. Limb regeneration in larvae and metamorphosing individuals of the South African clawed toad. *Journal of Morphology,* **110**(1): 61-77.

Dumpert K, Zietz E. 1984. Platanna (*Xenopus laevis*) as a test organism for determining the embryotoxic effects of environmental chemicals. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, **8**(1): 55-74.

Fei L, Ye CY, Xia Y. 1987. Study on the limb regeneration of *Cynops cyanurus chuxiongensis*. *Chinese Journal of Zoology*, **22**(5): 14-18. (in Chinese)

Fei L, Hu SQ, Ye CY, Huang YZ. 2009. Fauna of China: Amphibians (Vol. 2). Beijing: Science Press, 904-910. (in Chinese)

Fields S, Johnston M. 2005. Whither model organism research? *Science*, **307**(5717): 1885-1886.

Fites JS, Ramsey JP, Holden WM, Collier SP, Sutherland DM, Reinert LK, Gayek AS, Dermody TS, Aune TM, Oswald-Richter K, Rollins-Smith LA. 2013. The invasive chytrid fungus of amphibians paralyzes lymphocyte responses. *Science*, **342**(6156): 366-369.

Fites JS, Reinert LK, Chappell TM, Rollins-Smith LA. 2014. Inhibition of local immune responses by the frog-killing fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. *Infection and Immunity*, **82**(11): 4698-4706.

Geschwind DH. 2009. Advances in autism. *Annual Review of Medicine*, **60**(1): 367-380.

Gosner KL. 1960. A simplified table for staging anuran embryos and larvae with notes on identification. *Herpetologica*, **16**(3): 183-190.

Gotoh T, Villa LM, Capelluto DGS, Finkielstein CV. 2011. Regulatory pathways coordinating cell cycle progression in early *Xenopus* development. *In*: Kubiak JZ. Cell Cycle in Development: Results and Problems in Cell Differentiation. **53**: 171-199.

Grant IM, Balcha D, Hao T, Shen Y, Trivedi P, Patrushev I, Fortriede JD, Karpinka JB, Liu LM, Zorn AM, Stukenberg PT, Hill DE, Gilchrist MJ. 2015. The *Xenopus* ORFeome: a resource that enables functional genomics. *Developmental Biology*, **408**(2): 345-357.

Guo XG, Zhang TJ, Hu Z, Zhang YQ, Shi ZY, Wang QH, Cui Y, Wang FQ, Zhao H, Chen YL. 2014. Efficient RNA/Cas9-mediated genome editing in *Xenopus tropicalis*. *Development*, **141**(3): 707-714.

Gurdon JB, Elsdale TR, Fischberg M. 1958. Sexually mature individuals of *Xenopus laevis* from the transplantation of single somatic nuclei. *Nature*, **182**(4627): 64-65.

Hardwick LJA, Philpott A. 2015. An oncologist's friend: how Xenopus

contributes to cancer research. Developmental Biology, 408(2): 180-187.

Harland RM, Grainger RM. 2011. *Xenopus* research: metamorphosed by genetics and genomics. *Trends in Genetics*, **27**(12): 507-515.

Hartley KO, Hardcastle Z, Friday RV, Amaya E, Papalopulu N. 2001. Transgenic *Xenopus* embryos reveal that anterior neural development requires continued suppression of BMP signaling after gastrulation. *Developmental Biology*, **238**(1): 168-184.

Heimeier RA, Das B, Buchholz DR, Fiorentino M, Shi YB. 2010. Studies on *Xenopus laevis* intestine reveal biological pathways underlying vertebrate gut adaptation from embryo to adult. *Genome Biology*, **11**(5): R55.

Hellsten U, Harland RM, Gilchrist MJ, Hendrix D, Jurka J, Kapitonov V, Ovcharenko I, Putnam NH, Shu SQ, Taher L, Blitz IL, Blumberg B, Dichmann DS, Dubchak I, Amaya E, Detter JC, Fletcher R, Gerhard DS, Goodstein D, Graves T, Grigoriev IV, Grimwood J, Kawashima T, Lindquist E, Lucas SM, Mead PE, Mitros T, Ogino H, Ohta Y, Poliakov AV, Pollet N, Robert J, Salamov A, Sater AK, Schmutz J, Terry A, Vize PD, Warren WC, Wells D, Wills A, Wilson RK, Zimmerman LB, Zorn AM, Grainger R, Grammer T, Khokha MK, Richardson PM, Rokhsar DS. 2010. The genome of the Western clawed frog *Xenopus tropicalis*. *Science*, **328**(5978): 633-636.

Hemmati-Brivanlou A, Melton DA. 1994. Inhibition of activin receptor signaling promotes neuralization in *Xenopus*. *Cell*, **77**(2): 273-281.

Hirsch N, Zimmerman LB, Grainger RM. 2002a. *Xenopus*, the next generation: *X. Tropicalis* genetics and genomics. *Developmental Dynamics*, **225**(4): 422-433.

Hirsch N, Zimmerman LB, Gray J, Chae J, Curran KL, Fisher M, Ogino H, Grainger RM. 2002b. *Xenopus tropicalis* transgenic lines and their use in the study of embryonic induction. *Developmental Dynamics*, **225**(4): 522-535.

Ho DM, Whitman M. 2008. TGF-β signaling is required for multiple processes during *Xenopus* tail regeneration. *Developmental Biology*, **315**(1): 203-216

Horb M, Zorn A, Baker J, Buchholz D, Moody S, Rokhsar D, Sokol S, Veenstra G, Khokha M. Xenbase. 2014 Xenopus Community White Paper. (2014) Available at: http://www.xenbase.org/community/xenopuswhitepaper.do.

Ishizuya-Oka A, Kajita M, Hasebe T. 2014. Thyroid Hormone-regulated Wnt5a/Ror2 signaling is essential for dedifferentiation of larval epithelial cells into adult stem cells in the *Xenopus laevis* intestine. *PLoS One*, **9**(9): e107611.

Khoudoli GA, Gillespie PJ, Stewart G, Andersen JS, Swedlow JR, Blow JJ. 2008. Temporal profiling of the chromatin proteome reveals system-wide responses to replication inhibition. *Current Biology*, **18**(11): 838-843.

Kinoshita M, Ariizumi T, Yuasa S, Miyoshi S, Komazaki S, Fukuda K, Asashima M. 2010. Creating frog heart as an organ: *in vitro*-induced heart functions as a circulatory organ *in vivo*. *The International Journal of Developmental Biology*, **54**(5): 851-856.

LaBonne C, Zorn AM. 2015. Modeling human development and disease in Xenopus. Developmental Biology, **408**(2): 179.

Lamb TM, Knecht AK, Smith WC, Stachel SE, Economides AN, Stahl N, Yancopolous GD, Harland RM. 1993. Neural induction by the secreted polypeptide noggin. *Science*, **262**(5134): 713-718.

Lee-Liu D, Moreno M, Almonacid LI, Tapia VS, Muñoz R, von Marées J, Gaete M, Melo F, Larraín J. 2014. Genome-wide expression profile of the response to spinal cord injury in *Xenopus laevis* reveals extensive differences between regenerative and non-regenerative stages. *Neural*

Development, 9: 12.

Lei Y, Guo XG, Liu Y, Cao Y, Deng Y, Chen XF, Cheng CHK, Dawid IB, Chen YL, Zhao H. 2012. Efficient targeted gene disruption in *Xenopus* embryos using engineered transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **109**(43): 17484-17489.

Li J, Meyer AN, Donoghue DJ. 1995. Requirement for phosphorylation of cyclin B1 for *Xenopus* oocyte maturation. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, **6**(9): 1111-1124.

Li JX, Xu XQ, Xu CH, Zhou WP, Zhang KY, Yu HN, Zhang YP, Zheng YT, Rees HH, Lai R, Yang DM, Wu J. 2007. Anti-infection peptidomics of amphibian skin. *Molecular & Cell Proteomics*, **6**(5): 882-894.

Lin GF, Slack JMW. 2008. Requirement for Wnt and FGF signaling in

Xenopus tadpole tail regeneration. Developmental Biology, 316(2): 323-335. Luca V, Stringaro A, Colone M, Pini A, Mangoni ML. 2013. Esculentin(1-21), an amphibian skin membrane-active peptide with potent activity on both

an amphibian skin membrane-active peptide with potent activity on both planktonic and biofilm cells of the bacterial pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, **70**(15): 2773-2786.

Makanae A, Mitogawa K, Satoh A. 2016. Cooperative inputs of Bmp and Fgf signaling induce tail regeneration in urodele amphibians. Developmental Biology, **410**(1): 45-55.

Marshak S, Meynard MM, De Vries YA, Kidane AH, Cohen-Cory S. 2012. Cell-autonomous alterations in dendritic arbor morphology and connectivity induced by overexpression of MeCP2 in *Xenopus* central neurons *in vivo*. *PLoS One*. **7**(3): e33153.

Moon RT. 2001. *Xenopus* Embryo: β -catenin and Dorsal-Ventral Axis Formation. *In*: eLS.

Morrison JI, Lööf S, He PP, Simon A. 2006. Salamander limb regeneration involves the activation of a multipotent skeletal muscle satellite cell population. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, **172**(3): 433-440.

Nieuwkoop PD. 1985. Inductive interactions in early amphibian development and their general nature. *Journal of Embryology and Experimental Morphology,* **89**(S): 333-347.

Odelberg SJ. 2005. Cellular plasticity in vertebrate regeneration. *The Anatomical Record Part B: The New Anatomist*, **287B**(1): 25-35.

Ogino H, Fisher M, Grainger RM. 2008. Convergence of a head-field selector Otx2 and Notch signaling: a mechanism for lens specification. *Development*, **135**(2): 249-258.

Pratt KG, Khakhalin AS. 2013. Modeling human neurodevelopmental disorders in the *Xenopus* tadpole: from mechanisms to therapeutic targets. *Disease Models & Mechanisms*, **6**(5): 1057-1065.

Pyron RA, Wiens JJ. 2011. A large-scale phylogeny of Amphibia including over 2800 species, and a revised classification of extant frogs, salamanders, and caecilians. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **61**(2): 543-583.

Robert J, Ohta Y. 2009. Comparative and developmental study of the immune system in *Xenopus. Developmental Dynamics*, **238**(6): 1249-1270.

Saka Y, Smith JC. 2001. Spatial and temporal patterns of cell division during early *Xenopus* embryogenesis. *Developmental Biology*, **229**(2): 307-318.

Salanga MC, Horb ME. 2015. Xenopus as a model for Gl/Pancreas disease. Current Pathobiology Reports, 3(2): 137-145.

Saria R, Mouchet F, Perrault A, Flahaut E, Laplanche C, Boutonnet JC, Pinelli E, Gauthier L. 2014. Short term exposure to multi-walled carbon

nanotubes induce oxidative stress and DNA damage in *Xenopus laevis* tadpoles. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety,* **107**: 22-29.

Sasai Y, Lu B, Steinbeisser H, De Robertis EM. 1995. Regulation of neural induction by the Chd and BMP-4 antagonistic patterning signals in *Xenopus*. *Nature*, **376**(6538): 333-336.

Satoh A, Mitogawa K, Makanae A. 2015. Regeneration inducers in limb regeneration. *Development, Growth & Differentiation*, **57**(6): 421-429.

Savage AE, Kiemnec-Tyburczy KM, Ellison AR, Fleischer RC, Zamudio KR. 2014. Conservation and divergence in the frog immunome: pyrosequencing and de novo assembly of immune tissue transcriptomes. *Gene*, **542**(2): 98-108

Schmitt SM, Gull M, Brändli AW. 2014. Engineering *Xenopus* embryos for phenotypic drug discovery screening. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, **69-70**: 225-246.

Schweickert A, Feistel K. 2015. The *Xenopus* embryo: an ideal model system to study human ciliopathies. *Current Pathobiology Reports*, **3**(2): 115-127.

Shimizu S, Ota H. 2003. Normal development of *Microhyla ornata*: the first description of the complete embryonic and larval stages for the Microhylid frogs (Amphibia: Anura). *Current Herpetology*, **22**(2): 73-90.

Sitaram N, Sai KP, Singh S, Sankaran K, Nagaraj R. 2002. Structure-function relationship studies on the frog skin antimicrobial peptide tigerinin 1: design of analogs with improved activity and their action on clinical bacterial isolates. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, **46**(7): 2279-2283

Slack JMW, Beck CW, Gargioli C, Christen B. 2004. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of regeneration in *Xenopus. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B: Biological Sciences.* **359**(1445): 745-751.

Tanaka EM, Reddien PW. 2011. The cellular basis for animal regeneration. Developmental Cell, 21(1): 172-185.

Spallanzani L. 1768. Prodromo di un opera da imprimersi sopra la riproduzioni anamali. Giovanni Montanari, Modena.Translated in English by Maty M. 1769 .An essay on animal reproduction. London: T. Becket & DeH on dt.

Tata JR. 1996. Metamorphosis: an exquisite model for hormonal regulation of post-embryonic development. *Biochemical Society Symposia*, **62**(1): 123-136.

Vernon AE, Devine C, Philpott A. 2003. The cdk inhibitor p27^{Xic1} is required for differentiation of primary neurones in *Xenopus. Development*, **130**(1): 85-92

Wallace H. 1981. Vertebrate Limb Regeneration. New York: Wiley.

Whitman M. 1998. Smads and early developmental signaling by the TGF β superfamily. *Genes & Development*, **12**(16): 2445-2462.

Woodland HR. 1974. Some studies on early embryonic development relevant to the study of cancer. *Journal of Clinical Pathology*, **7**: 26-30.

Xiang Y, Yan C, Guo XL, Zhou KF, Li SA, Gao Q, Wang X, Zhao F, Liu J, Lee WH, Zhang Y. 2014. Host-derived, pore-forming toxin-like protein and trefoil factor complex protects the host against microbial infection. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 111(18): 6702-6707.

Xu XQ, Lai R. 2015. The chemistry and biological activities of peptides from amphibian skin secretions. *Chemical Reviews*, **115**(4): 1760-1846.

Yang J, Tan CE, Darken RS, Wilson PA, Klein PS. 2002. β-Catenin/Tcf-

regulated transcription prior to the midblastula transition. *Development*, **129**(24): 5743-5752.

Ymlahi-Ouazzani Q, Bronchain OJ, Paillard E, Ballagny C, Chesneau A, Jadaud A, Mazabraud A, Pollet N. 2010. Reduced levels of survival motor neuron protein leads to aberrant motoneuron growth in a *Xenopus* model of muscular atrophy. *Neurogenetics*, **11**(1): 27-40.

Yokoyama H, Yonei-Tamura S, Endo T, Izpisúa Belmonte JC, Tamura K, Ide H. 2000. Mesenchyme with *fgf-10* expression is responsible for regenerative capacity in *Xenopus* limb buds. *Developmental Biology*, **219**(1): 18-29.

Young JJ, Cherone JM, Doyon Y, Ankoudinova I, Faraji FM, Lee AH, Ngo C, Guschin DY, Paschon DE, Miller JC, Zhang L, Rebar EJ, Gregory PD, Urnov FD, Harland RM, Zeitler B. 2011. Efficient targeted gene disruption in the soma and germ line of the frog *Xenopus tropicalis* using engineered

zinc-finger nucleases. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **108**(17): 7052-7057.

Yun MH, Gates PB, Brockes JP. 2014. Sustained ERK activation underlies reprogramming in regeneration-competent salamander cells and distinguishes them from their mammalian counterparts. *Stem Cell Reports*, **3**(1): 15-23.

Zhao F, Yan C, Wang X, Yang Y, Wang GY, Lee W, Xiang Y, Zhang Y. 2014. Comprehensive transcriptome profiling and functional analysis of the frog (*Bombina maxima*) immune system. *DNA Research*, **21**(1): 1-13.

Zhao LY, Liu LS, Wang SH, Wang HY, Jiang JP. 2016. Transcriptome profiles of metamorphosis in the ornamented pygmy frog *Microhyla fissipes* clarify the functions of thyroid hormone receptors in metamorphosis. *Scientific Reports*. doi: 10.1038/srep27310.