SHORT COMMUNICATION

IDENTIFICATION AND FREQUENCY OF ASCOCHYTA DISEASES OF GREEN AND DRY PEAS IN MOROCCO

M. BESRI and S.B. MATHUR1

Institut Agronomique et Vétérinaire Hassan 11, B. P. 6202, Rabat, Morocco
¹Danish Government Institute of Seed Pathology for Developing countries,
Ryvangs A116, 78 2900 Hellerup, Copenhagen, Denmark.

(Received 13 July 1994; accepted 31 January 1995)

ABSTRACT

A survey of incidence and severity of Ascochyta diseases on peas in Morocco was carried out in 1992. All the three Ascochyta species of peas are found in the country. Their incidence and severity were higher on the green peas than on the dry peas. This is mainly due to the dry conditions prevailing during the dry pea growing season. Ascochyta pisi Lib. was isolated only from leaves and stem, Mycosphaerella pinodes (Berk. and Blot) Vestergr. more frequently from the leaves and stem than from the foot region, and Phoma medicaginis var. pinodella (Jones) Boerema mainly from the foot region.

Key Words: Ascochyta spp., peas, Morocco

RÉSUMÉ

Une enquête sur l'incidence et la severité de pathogènes d'ascochyta sur le pois a été afféctué au Maroc en 1992. Les trois espèces connues d'Ascochyta ont été rencontrées sur le pois au Maroc. L'incidence et la sevérité de ces agents pathogènes sont plus élevées sur le pois vert que sur le pois sec. Cette situation est principalement due aux conditions de sécheresse rencontrées pendant la saison de culture du pois sec. Ascochyta pisi Lib. a été isolé uniquement de feuilles et de tiges, Mycosphaerella pinodes (Berk. and Blot) Vestergr. est plus fréquent sur feuilles et tiges que sur collet, enfin, Phoma medicaginis var. pinodella (Jones) Boerema a principalement été isolé du collet des plantes.

Mots Clés: Ascochyta spp., petit pois, Maroc

INTRODUCTION

The three Ascochyta pathogens which attack peas were first described by Jones (1927). Ascochyta pisi Lib. causes leaf and pod spots, Mycosphaerella pinodes (Berk. and Blot) Vestergr., the perfect stage of A. pinodes causes blight, and A. pinodella which is now called Phoma medicaginis var. pinodella (Jones)

Boerema produces mainly foot rot in the affected plants (Lawyer, 1985). In Morocco, peas (*Pisum sativum* L.) are grown for fresh consumption and processing (green peas), as well as for dry consumption and seed (dry peas). Previous surveys have shown that Ascochyta diseases are very important in Morocco and are widely distributed in the country (Hidan, 1985; El Guilli, 1987). Losses caused by these pathogens can be as high

as 100%, particularly during wet seasons (El Guilli, 1987).

Although the three Ascochyta species have been reported in Morocco, their relative frequency on the green and dry peas has not been established. A survey was therefore undertaken in 1992 and the results are presented in this paper.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Twenty five fields of green pea crop and 25 of dry pea crop were chosen in the main growing areas of the country. All the fields were visited during the flowering time, February-March 1992 for the green peas, and May-June of the same year for the dry peas. The flowering time was chosen because, at that stage, the plants are more susceptibile to the *Ascochyta* spp. infection (Allard *et al.*, 1993).

Ten quadrants of 1 m² were randomly chosen in each field for recording Ascochyta incidence and severity. The incidence of the disease was calculated on the basis of percent plants attacked. Disease severity was evaluated using the disease severity index described by Gilpatrick and Bush (1950) as:

Disease severity (%) =
$$\frac{\text{Sum of disease severity on each plant}}{\text{No.of plants observed x 5 (highest disease index)}} \times 100$$

After evaluation of disease incidence and severity, 5 to 10 diseased plants were brought to the laboratory for pathogen identification because symptoms produced by these pathogens are almost impossible to distinguish from each other in the field (Lawyer, 1974).

Isolation of Ascochyta spp. was made from the foot region, spotted leaves and pods on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium. Pieces of infected leaves, stem and collar were surface-disinfected by dipping in 1% sodium hypochlorite for 30 seconds, and plated on PDA in 9 cm diameter petri dishes. The petri dishes were then incubated at 21-22°C in alternating cycles of 12 hr near ultra violet light and 12 hr darkness for 8 days. Pathogen identification was mainly done on the basis of colony characters on the medium (El Guilli, 1986), and in some cases, on the conidial morphology (Punithalingam and Halliday, 1972a, b; Punithalingam and Gibson, 1976).

RESULTS

Incidence and severity of Ascochyta spp. Incidence and severity of Ascochyta spp. varied greatly with the pea crop. Disease severity was moderate to severe on green peas and was slight to moderate on dry peas (Table 1). Disease incidence both on the foot and on the leaves and stem was also higher on the green peas.

Identification of Ascochyta spp. On PDA, A. pisi, P. medicaginis and M. pinodes are easily recognizable by their colony characters, and by their conidial morphology. The colonies of A. pisi are light in colour, with light brown pycnidia scattered uniformly within the colony. The pycnidiospores are hyaline, straight or slightly curved and constricted at the septum, one septate, and stout and cylindrical, with rounded ends (Punithalingam and Holliday, 1972a). The

TABLE 1. Mean disease incidence and severity on foot, leaves and stem of green and dry peas in Morocco in 1992

Сгор	Number of infected fields	Incidence (%)			Severity (%)	
		Foot rot	Leaf spot	Foot rot, and leaf spot	Foot rot	Leaf spot
Green peas	25	12. 5	41. 2	6. 2	3. 5	3. 2
Dry peas	5	6. 5	9. 3	0. 8	2. 4	2. 1

a) 25 fields were observed per crop at flowering time, February- March for the green peas, and May- June for dry peas.

b) Disease severity index: 0-no disease; 1-trace infection; 2-slight infection; 3-moderate infection; 4-severe infection, and 5- dead plant (Gilpatrick and Bush, 1950).

pycnidia of *M. pinodes* are darker, normally arranged in concentric rings. The pycnidiospores are larger than those of *A. pisi*, having 1-3 septa (Punithalingam and Holliday, 1972b). *P. medicaginis* has dark brown to black pycnidia, but not arranged in concentric rings as for *M. pinodes*. The pycnidiospores are smaller and usually without septation (Punithalingam and Gibson, 1976).

Isolation of Ascochyta spp. from diseased parts. A. pisi was isolated only from the leaves and stem while M. pinodes and P. medicaginis were isolated from leaves, stem and foot (Table 2). M. pinodes was frequently present on leaves

TABLE 2: Percentage occurrence of Ascochyta pisi, Mycosphaerella pinodes and Phoma medicaginis var. pinodelia on foot, leaves and stem of peas

Pathogen	Foot	Leaves	Stem
Ascochyta pisi	0	32	32
Mycosphaerelia pinodes	15	58	58
Phoma medicaginis var.pinodelia	25	5	5

^{* 112} plants were analysed for foot rot, and 158 for leaf and stem lesions

and stems, while *P. medicaginis* occurred mainly in the foot.

DISCUSSION

Dixon (1985) reported that severity of Ascochyta spp. is higher in humid areas than in dry areas, and that Ascochyta diseases could be controlled by production of pathogen-free seeds in dry areas. Lawyer (1985) also reported that before pea seed production was moved from high rainfall areas, the Ascochyta spp. were carried internally in the seeds; but with the seed production in dry areas, most of the inoculum has become external as dust or small particles. From the present survey, it was evident that under Moroccan conditions, the Ascochyta disease incidence and severity are higher on green peas than on the dry peas. Green peas are commonly sown during the wet season. between October and January, and the dry peas in dryer conditions between February and May. The

sowing time and prevalent humidity during the growing season explain the differences observed in the disease incidence and severity on the two crops.

A. pisi, M. pinodes and P. medicaginis are widespread throughout the world (Allard et al., 1993). They cause significant losses when the cropping systems and the environmental conditions are favourable for their development (Tu, 1987; Allard et al., 1993). However, M. pinodes is the most damaging of the three pathogens (Lawyer, 1985). The disease syndrome incited by this pathogen embraces most of the symptoms produced by A. pisi and P. medicaginis. Consequently, field identification of the three pathogens is difficult. Under Moroccan conditions, occurrence of the three Ascochyta spp. is confirmed. M. pinodes and P. medicagenis were isolated from foot, stems and leaves. These results confirm those previously reported (Lawyer, 1985; Allard et al., 1987). M. pinodes was the more frequent pathogen on the above ground parts. However, although this study has quantified the relative frequency of the Ascochyta spp. on pea organs, the effects of the pathogens on pea yield are not yet known. Wallen (1965) has reported 50% yield losses in fields inoculated with P. medicaginis and M. pinodes. In fields inoculated with A. pisi, the yield losses were insignificant.

Up to now, no resistance against *M. pinodes* and *P. medicaginis* has been obtained although pea cultivars have been extensively screened for resistance (Allard *et al.*, 1993). Lawyer (1985) reported that some pea varieties which are resistant to *A. pisi* in Britain are susceptible in Australia. Races of the pathogen have been reported in Canada (Wallen, 1957), France (Cousin, 1972) and England (Hubbeling, 1972). Therefore, in the absence of resistant varieties, it is necessary to control the *Ascochyta* spp. complex, and particularly *M. pinodes* and *P. medicaginis*, by other control methods (Tu, 1987). Raising of pathogen-free seeds can help to reduce epidemics of *Ascochyta* diseases.

REFERENCES

Allard, C., Bill, L. et Touraud, G. 1993. L' Anthracnose du pois. Revue bibliographique

- et synthèse. Agronomic 13: 5-24.
- Cousin, R. 1972. Contribution à l'étude des résistances A quelques maladies du pois. In: Eucarpia, Reunion Pois Versailles, INRA, Versailles, 149 pp.
- Dixon, G.R. 1985. Vegetable Crop Diseases. Macmillan Press Ltd., 404 pp.
- El Guilli, M. 1986. Studies on some seed-borne fungi of *Pisum sativum* in Morocco. A report submitted for the Seed Pathology examination at the Danish Institute of Seed Pathology for Developing Countries, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- El Guilli, M. 1987. Production, controle, qualité et commercialisation des semences de petit pois et étude de quelques aspects phytosanitaires de la culture on Maroc, Those de 3ème cycle. Institut Agronomique et Vétérinaire Hassan 11, Rabat, Morocco.
- Gilpatrick, J.D. and Bush, L.V. 1950. Studies on the pathogenicity of different isolates of Ascochyta pisi Lib. Plant Disease Reporter 34: 383-387.
- Hidan, A. 1985. Semences de lentille, de petit pois et de pois chiche. Production, commercialisation, utilisation et état sanitaire. Thése de 36^{me} cycle, Institut Agronomique et Vétérinaire Hassan 11, Rabat, Morocco.
- Hubbeling, N. 1972. Races of Ascochyta pisi and testing for resistance in peas. In: Eucarpia, Reunion pois Versailles, INRA, Versailles, 161-162.

- Jones, L.K. 1927. The relation of Mycosphaerella pinodes to Ascochyta blight of peas. Phytopathology (Abstract) 17: 44.
- Lawyer, A.S. 1985. Disease caused by Ascochyta spp. In: Compendium of Pea Diseases. Pages 11-15. American Phytopathological Society, St Paul, Minnesota.
- Punithalingam, E. and Holliday, P. 1972a. Ascochyta pisi. CMI Description of Pathogenic Fungi, No. 334.
- Punithalingam, E. and Holliday, P. 1972b. Mycosphaerella pinodes. CMI, Description of Pathogenic Fungi, No. 340.
- Punithalingam, E. and Gibson, I.A.S. 1976. *Phoma medicaginis* var *pinodella*. CMI, Description of Pathogenic Fungi, No. 518.
- Tu, J.C. 1987. Integrated control of the pea root rot diseases complex in Ontario. *Plant Disease* 1: 913.
- Wallen, V.R. 1957. The identification and distribution of physiologic races of Ascochyta pisi Lib in Canada. Canadian Journal of Plant Sciences 37: 337-341.
- Wallen, V.R. 1965. Field evaluation and the importance of the Ascochyta complex on peas. Canadian Journal of Plant Sciences 45: 27-33.
- Wallen, V.R. 1974. Influence of three Ascochyta diseases of peas on plant development and yield. Canadian Plant Disease Survey 54(3): 86-90.